EUROGOS INTEGRATION WS

ROOS

- Generally, ROOSes provide ambassadors to bring questions and ask solution to the WGs.
 - Question: are WG' recommendations followed by the ROOSes? If not, why?
- ROOSes mainly focus on physical and BGC products. How ROOSes plan to apply the new strategy in terms of Climate and Ocean health (and grant)?
- All ROOSes ask more support from the EUROGOOS office (and money too?). Supporting communication.
- EUROGOOS office should assume the coherence between the rooses.
- Main weaknesses: gap of observations, data sharing, funding, administration support, communication.

WG

- TPWG: Young group to be consolidated by proposing participants from ROOSes and TTs
 - Lack of funding, communication, involvement, integration, link with the office
 - Need to have priorities proposed: metrology, best practices, new sensors (in Europe) and systems for observation of BCG and biology
- SAWG: Need to define the need of urgent issues for Eurogoos development (in physics, BCG, biology to answer to monitoring and modeling needs for the new strategy).
- DATAMEQ: need recommendations to define priorities and recommendation to be taken into account by the ROOSes.
- CWG: Very active group but missing communication and interaction with the ROOSes and mainly with MONGOOS and Arctic.

THE QUESTION OF THE MISSION OF THE AMBASSADOR TO BE CLEAR

TT

- Tide Gauge: lack of resources and funding, lack of expertise and collaboration. EUROSEA and GALILEO opportunities.
- EURO-ARGO: synergy with TT and ROOS for BCG. Waiting for sustainability and funding secured and Manufacture engagements.
- HFR: Funding through Cost action. But EUROSEA. Improvements to modeling to be set up. No long term sustainability.
- Ferrybox: Funding decreases. Office to provide more visibility.
- Fixed platforms: to re-initiate? TO REORGANISE
- Gliders: PLOCAN to coordinate
- Animal bornes: TBD

Main weaknesses to correct?

- EUROGOOS office
 - Role and actions of the Office: should be more than a control tower; Need for scientific expertise overview of the landscape.
 - Need to really coordinate, exchanges, interact and help the 3D interactions between ROOSes, WGs, TTs. Help for funding through European activities and projects. Help for facilitating cooperation, doc repository.
 - Interact more with EMB and JPI to help for funding → EOOS.
- The interactions between ROOSes and WG not working well: ROOS member has to bring the ROOS questions to the WG and bring back the proposed actions to implement the solutions proposed by the WG. MORE INTERACTION AND COLLABORATION.
- How the strategy can be taken into account in the ROOSes and TT through the WG ???
- Lack of extended support from the offices to the voluntary work of the WG,
 TT and ROOSes

- Sharing tools
- Rooses are the center of Eurogoos.
- Communication to be more efficient