

The Arctic Ocean and the Need for an Arctic GOOS

The EuroGOOS Arctic Task Team:

Stein Sandven¹, Ola M. Johannessen^{1,2,3}, Eberhard Fahrbach⁴, Erik Buch⁵, Howard Cattle⁶, Leif Toudal Pedersen⁷ and Timo Vihma⁸

-
1. Nansen Environmental and Remote Sensing Center, Bergen, Norway
 2. Mohn–Sverdrup Center for Global Ocean Studies and Operational Oceanography, Bergen, Norway
 3. Geophysical Institute, University of Bergen, Norway
 4. Alfred–Wegener–Institute für Polar- und Meeresforschung, Bremerhaven, Germany
 5. Danish Meteorological Institute, Copenhagen, Denmark
 6. Southampton Oceanography Centre, Southampton, UK
 7. Technical University of Denmark, Lyngby, Denmark
 8. Finnish Institute for Marine Research, Helsinki, Finland

EuroGOOS Personnel

Secretariat	Hans Dahlin (Director)	EuroGOOS Office, SMHI, Sweden
	Patrick Gorringe (Project Manager)	EuroGOOS Office, SMHI, Sweden
	Siân Petersson (Assistant)	EuroGOOS Office, SMHI, Sweden
Chair	Peter Ryder	
Board	Sylvie Pouliquen	Ifremer, France
	Ola M Johannessen	NERSC, Norway
	Kostas Nittis	HCMR, Greece
	Bertil Håkansson	SMHI, Sweden
	Jan H Stel	NWO, Netherlands
	Jon Turton	Met Office, UK
	Jacques Legrand (Chair TPWG)	Ifremer, France
	Howard Cattle (Chair SAWG)	International CLIVAR Project Office, SOC, UK
Task Team Chairs	Stein Sandven	Arctic Task Team Atlantic Task Team
	Erik Buch	Baltic Task Team/BOOS
	Nadia Pinardi	Mediterranean Task Team
	Martin Holt	North West Shelf Task Team/NOOS

EuroGOOS Publications

1.	Strategy for EuroGOOS 1996	ISBN 0-904175-22-7
2.	EuroGOOS Annual Report 1996	ISBN 0-904175-25-1
3.	The EuroGOOS Plan 1997	ISBN 0-904175-26-X
4.	The EuroGOOS Marine Technology Survey	ISBN 0-904175-29-4
5.	The EuroGOOS Brochure 1997	
6.	The Science Base of EuroGOOS	ISBN 0-904175-30-8
7.	Proceedings of the Hague Conference, 1997, Elsevier	ISBN 0-444-82892-3
8.	The EuroGOOS Extended Plan	ISBN 0-904175-32-4
9.	The EuroGOOS Atlantic Workshop Report	ISBN 0-904175-33-2
10.	EuroGOOS Annual Report 1997	ISBN 0-904175-34-0
11.	Mediterranean Forecasting System Report	ISBN 0-904175-35-9
12.	Requirements Survey Analysis	ISBN 0-904175-36-7
13.	The EuroGOOS Technology Plan Working Group	ISBN 0-904175-37-5
14.	The BOOS Plan 1999-2003	ISBN 0-904175-41-3
15.	Bio-ecological Observations in OO	ISBN 0-904175-43-X
16.	Operational Ocean Observations from Space	ISBN 0-904175-44-8
17.	Proceedings of the Rome Conference 1999, Elsevier	ISBN 0-444-50391-9
18.	NOOS—Strategic Plan	ISBN 0-904175-46-4
19.	Proceedings of the Athens Conference 2002, Elsevier	ISBN 0-444-51550-X
20.	The EuroGOOS Brochure 2004	
21.	The Policy Basis of the “Ecosystem Approach” to Fisheries Management	ISBN 91-974828-1-1
22.	The Arctic Ocean and the Need for an Arctic GOOS	ISBN 91-974828-0-3

Contents

1	Summary	1
1.1	State-of-the-art	1
1.2	Rationale	2
1.3	Objectives	4
1.4	Users of operational oceanography	5
1.4.1	Operational users who need near real time monitoring and forecasting services	5
1.4.2	Climate monitoring and modelling	5
1.4.3	Environmental monitoring and resource management	5
1.5	Links to ongoing programmes and organisations	5
1.6	Implementation Process	6
1.7	Conclusions	7
2	Ocean State	9
2.1	Ice-covered and ice-free areas	9
2.2	Description of the Arctic Ocean and the adjacent seas	10
3	Variables and Observing Techniques	12
3.1	Sea ice	12
3.1.1	Ice concentration/extent and navigational ice charts	13
3.1.2	Ice thickness	16
3.1.3	Ice drift	18
3.1.4	Icebergs	19
3.2	Temperature, salinity and currents	19
3.2.1	Satellite observations of sea surface temperature and ocean colour	20
3.2.2	Ship observations	20
3.2.3	Measurements from moored systems	21
3.2.4	Measurements from free drifting or propelled autonomous systems	22
3.2.5	Planned systems for under-ice monitoring	23
3.3	Sea level, surface topography and wave forecasting	24
3.3.1	Tide gauge measurements	24
3.3.2	Sea surface topography from altimetry	25
3.3.3	Wave forecasting	25
3.4	Boundary conditions	26
3.4.1	Meteorology	26
3.4.2	River runoff	27
3.5	Tracers	27
3.6	Oil spills and other pollutants	29
4	Modelling and Forecasting	31
4.1	Example of climate models: The Bergen Climate Model	31
4.2	Example of operational forecasting: The TOPAZ system	31
4.3	Ocean Forecasting by the MI-POM model system	32
4.4	Example of a regional model: the Barents Sea model	33
5	Data Exchange	35
6	Dissemination	36
7	References	37
8	Contributors	44
9	Appendix	45
9.1	Ice strengthened research ships and ice breakers	45
9.2	List of acronyms	46
9.3	Useful Internet addresses	49

1 Summary

1.1 State-of-the-art

The Arctic climate of the 20th century has undergone major fluctuations which are characterised by a significant warming in the last two decades. The main features of these fluctuations are a substantial warming between 1925 and 1945, a marked cooling in 1950–1970 and finally an ongoing warming trend which started around 1980, shown in Figure 1a (Johannessen *et al.*, 2003, 2004a; Bengtsson *et al.*, 2004). These events have not been restricted to the Arctic, but the strength of the warming has by far been most pronounced in the Arctic region, as the climate models predict. The warming predicted for the high Arctic is 3–4 °C in winter during the next 50 years, more than twice the global average, while the ice cover is predicted to reduce by ~80% during summer and ~20% during winter according to ECHAM4¹ and HadCM3² simulations for the end of the century (Johannessen *et al.*, 2004a). This suggests that the Arctic may be the location of the most rapid and dramatic climate changes during the 21st century, with major ramifications for mid-latitude climate.

The sea ice cover has decreased by ~10% over the last 2–3 decades (Johannessen *et al.*, 1999; 2004a) whereas the ice thickness has decreased up to 40% during summer (Rothrock *et al.*, 1999). Other observed changes include a warming of the Atlantic water in the Arctic Ocean (Morison *et al.*, 1998, Alekseev *et al.*, 2003), increased precipitation in the Arctic regions, and higher river discharge into the Arctic ocean (Peterson *et al.*, 2002). The changes detected during the last decades include a significant freshening of the deep North Atlantic Ocean (Dickson *et al.*, 2002), warming in the deep water of the Nordic Seas (Østerhus and Gammelsrød, 1999; Alekseev *et al.*, 2003) and a decrease of deep overflow in the Faeroe Bank Channel (Hansen *et al.*, 2001). The oceanic fluxes of heat and freshwater between the North Atlantic, Nordic Seas and Arctic Ocean are key components of the high-latitude climate system (Oliver and Heywood, 2003).

The Arctic Ocean plays a major role concerning the surface energy and freshwater budgets, because it is a large and effective heat sink, and it exports a significant amount of freshwater into the North Atlantic (Alekseev *et al.*, 2003), and into areas of deep water formation like the Greenland, Irminger and Labrador Seas, from where the global oceanic thermohaline circulation is driven (Rahmstorf and

1. ECHAM4: Max Planck Institute for Meteorology's climate model (<http://www.dkrz.de>)
2. HadCM3: The Hadley Centre climate model (<http://www.metoffice.co.uk>)




Figure 1 (a) Hovmöller diagram indicating the time-latitude variability of SAT anomalies north of 30°N, 1891–1999: observed; (b) ECHAM4-modelled Northern Hemisphere sea-ice concentration in late winter (March) from (upper left) 2001–2010 and (lower left) and 2081–2090, and in late summer (September) from (upper right) 2001–2010 and (lower right) 2081–2090. The model has been run using the IPCC IS92 emission scenario comparable to IPCC SRES scenario B2 (after Johannessen *et al.*, 2004a)

Zickfeld, 2005). Improved monitoring systems are needed to provide consistent and long-term data on the ice-ocean circulation in the Arctic Ocean including the Fram Strait and Nordic Seas. Monitoring systems should have a central role in detection and verification of climate variability and trends. Monitoring and forecasting of the environmental conditions on a daily to seasonal scale is also needed to support all types of marine operations to secure sustainable and safe development in high latitudes.

1.2 Rationale

The recent Arctic Climate Impact Assessment studies (ACIA, 2004) have identified a number of severe impacts of Arctic warming on society. Changes in air temperature, precipitation, river discharge, sea ice, permafrost, glaciers and sea level have been documented and further changes are expected over the next decades. The Arctic region will be under increasing pressure from unsustainable development with pollution and other negative effects on the environment. The exploitation of resources, including sea transportation and offshore operations, will be heavily affected by the climate variability and long-term changes at high latitudes. The Northeast Atlantic, including Greenland and Icelandic waters, the Barents Sea and other Arctic ice edge regions, provides 20% of the world's fish catch. Ocean temperature is one of the key variables that influence fisheries. For example, the temperature changes observed in the Kola section since the early 1900s show strong correlation with variability in spawning stock

biomass of Norwegian spring-spawning herring (Thorsen and Østvedt, 2000), as shown in Figure 2. Monitoring the ocean environment is an important element in the management of fishery resources.

Tanker transportation of oil and gas in the Northern Sea Route between Russia and Western Europe is increasing with associated risks for accidents and damage to the environment. The amount of oil transportation along the Norwegian coastline from Northwest Russia has increased considerably over recent years (Frantzen and Bambuliak, 2003), causing severe concerns that accidents like the Exxon Valdez in 1989 and the Prestige in 2002 can happen in European Arctic seas. Long-term effects on wildlife after the Exxon Valdez accident have been recently documented, showing unexpected persistence of toxic subsurface oil with chronic exposures to the Alaskan coastal ecosystem (Peterson *et al.*, 2003). Various offshore operations in ice-covered waters will increase such as offshore exploration, drilling, oil and gas production, and gas transportation, pipeline deployment in the seabed, and building of terminals in several locations along the Arctic coasts. All these activities will increase the risk of accidents and severe pollution of the fragile Arctic environment. The oil and gas resources in Arctic regions are huge and increased exploitation of these resources is expected in the future, with a corresponding increase in tanker traffic and offshore operations. Northwest Russia and the Barents Sea have 25% of the world's unexploited petroleum resources (Figure 3), which will have an impact on global energy and transport policies.




Figure 2 a) The red line shows fluctuations of spawning stock biomass (SSB) of Norwegian spring-spawning herring (unit: recruits 0-grp) and the blue line shows mean annual temperature in °C at the Kola section. b) Distribution area of Norwegian spring-spawning herring in the north-east Atlantic region. Open arrows: migration route of herring during summer; thick arrows: main flow of Atlantic water; thin arrows: main flow of cold Arctic water (Thoresen and Østvedt, 2000).

Research expeditions and tourist traffic in Arctic waters are increasing. After the first icebreaker expedition to the North Pole with the Russian icebreaker Arctica in 1977, more than 50 vessels

have visited the Pole in the summer period. Over the last 10 years, there have been several vessels to the Pole every year, and most of them were tourist expeditions.



Figure 3 Map of the oil and gas fields in the Barents Sea and Pechora Sea (Barlindhaug, 2004).



Figure 4 Concrete Island Drilling Structure (CIDS) in the Beaufort Sea, Alaska (PAME, 2002)

Transit transportation between Europe and the East Asia and the west coast of North America through the Northern Sea Route can become economically attractive in the perspective of reduced sea ice cover. Transit expeditions with non-Russian vessels during summer started in 1991 with the L'Astrolabe voyage (Johannessen *et al.*, 1992). Several demonstration voyages have taken place during the 1990s, and commercial transit traffic is expected to develop in a longer perspective (Johannessen *et al.*, 1996; 1997a,b; 2000; 2005a; Alexandrov *et al.*, 2000).

The Arctic areas have rough weather and ice conditions, which require improvement of operational monitoring and forecasting services in order to safeguard all types of marine and coastal operations. The operational services should also include long-term data archiving services to build up statistics of the environmental conditions. The International Maritime Organisation (IMO) develops the regulations and standards for legislation in countries with maritime activities. Guidelines for ships operating in Arctic ice-covered waters have recently been issued (IMO, 2002), specifying requirements for ship construction, machinery, equipment, crews, training and operational procedures. Furthermore, the guidelines have rules for environmental protection and damage control.

The Arctic Council is a key intergovernmental body focusing on protection of the Arctic environment and sustainable development as a means of improving economic, social and cultural well-being. The Arctic Council provides a mechanism to address the common concerns and challenges faced by the Arctic governments and the people of the Arctic. The Arctic Council runs several programmes, which need monitoring and data for assessment of the environment of the Arctic areas. One working group is PAME (Protection of the Arctic Marine Environment) with responsibilities to take preventative and other measures, directly or through competent international organisations, regarding marine pollution in the Arctic, irrespective of origin. PAME has recently produced the Snap Shot Analysis of Maritime Activities in the Arctic (PAME, 2000) and Guidelines for Arctic Oil and Gas (PAME, 2002) activities to minimise negative impacts on the environment, for example around drilling sites (Figure 4). The other working groups include AMAP (Arctic Monitoring and Assessment Programme), CAFF (Conservation of Arctic Flora and Fauna), EPPR (Emergency Prevention, Prepar-

edness and Response) and SDWG (Sustainable Development).

The Commission on Oceanography and Marine Meteorology under WMO/IOC has issued a report on the needs to establish improved observing systems for the Polar Regions (JCOMM, 2000). The Global Climate Observing System Committee under WMO/ICSU/IOC has produced an implementation plan in support of the United Nations Framework Convention on Global Change (GCOS, 2004) where global ocean and sea ice observations are on the list of essential climate variables. Furthermore, GCOS 2004 recommends that an Arctic GOOS should be implemented, which was also recommended by EuroGOOS at their annual meeting in Helsinki in 2003.

The European Commission and the European Space Agency have jointly initiated GMES (Global Monitoring for Environment and Security), where the overall objective is to build up European capacity to provide operational monitoring services using spaceborne data as a key component (CEC, 2004). Services developed under GMES will enable decision-makers in Europe to acquire the capacity for global as well as regional monitoring so as to effectively realise the EU's objectives in a wide variety of policy areas. Monitoring the Arctic is one of the thematic areas of the GMES services.

Operational services on met-ice-ocean conditions in the Arctic Ocean and the Nordic Seas are extremely important for safe and cost-effective industrial and transport activities as well as for protection of the vulnerable environment. In order to improve the operational services, it is necessary to develop forecasting systems using numerical models and data assimilation techniques (Lisæter *et al.*, 2003). Furthermore, availability of marine observations and development of dissemination services are essential for improvement of services. The marine observations that form the basis for operational services are basically the same as those needed for climate research. It is therefore relevant that these two topics are considered simultaneously when planning an Arctic marine monitoring and forecasting system, such as the Arctic GOOS.

1.3 Objectives

The overall objective of the Arctic Task Team in partnership with the international communities and agencies is to develop and implement an Arctic GOOS for optimal and sustainable monitoring and forecasting in the Arctic Marine Region and adjacent seas using state-of-the-art

remote sensing, *in situ* data, numerical modelling, data assimilation and dissemination techniques.

In order to meet these objectives there is a need to

- Further develop and implement an observing system network for operational monitoring and forecasting of sea ice and ocean parameters including potential oil spill and radioactive spreading
- Implement and improve iceberg detection, monitoring and forecasting
- Validate and improve existing models and data assimilation forecasting techniques and develop new models where needed
- Monitor the large- and mesoscale sea ice, hydrographic and current conditions in order to quantify climate change and variability and prediction of future climate changes under greenhouse gas scenarios
- Develop provider services to disseminate data and information products for the different users such as fisheries, transportation and offshore oil and gas, environmental agencies and the science community.

1.4 Users of operational oceanography

1.4.1 Operational users who need near real time monitoring and forecasting services

Timely information on sea ice and other met-ocean conditions is essential for all types of marine operations in Polar Regions. Polar waters represent a significantly higher degree of risk to shipping than most other waters, by the presence of ice fields, wind and waves, icing of vessels and darkness in the winter. Human error is acknowledged to be a major factor in some 80% of all marine accidents in polar waters and the risk of errors is increased by the high demands placed on mariners (PAME, 2000). Another risk is the possibility of oil spill and other pollution, which can cause severe damage to the environment. The presence of sea ice makes cleanup techniques normally employed in more temperate climates useless in ice-covered areas. The safety and efficiency of sea transportation, offshore operations, fisheries and other marine activities have provided the motivation to establish operational sea ice monitoring and forecasting services in many countries in addition to the weather services. These services are usually limited to national areas of interest and leaves large parts of

the Arctic without daily monitoring and forecasting services.

1.4.2 Climate monitoring and modelling

One of the most significant aspects of climate change is the enhanced warming and the reduction of the sea ice cover in the Arctic (Johannessen *et al.*, 2004a). Better climatic data sets for the Arctic, including sea ice data, are required to validate and improve the climate model predictions for the high latitudes. Ice thickness and oceanographic variables are only observed in a few locations and occasionally by submarines and other ship-based methods. Ice volume budgets and fluxes are not observed due to lack of thickness data. Leads and polynyas, which are important for the vertical heat fluxes in the Arctic, are not well quantified due to the coarse resolution of the current ice maps. There is a significant lack of systematic long-term observations of the oceanographic variables in the Arctic Ocean, including reliable atmospheric forcing fields.

1.4.3 Environmental monitoring and resource management

Human activities within the Arctic regions such as resource exploitation, transport, and tourism can have a severe impact on a vulnerable environment through pollution and other disturbances to the ecosystems. In addition, transported pollution from industrial areas at lower latitudes can have significant impact on the Arctic environment, as shown in reports from the Arctic Monitoring and Assessment Programme (Figure 5). Issues for the marine environment include fisheries, ballast water from ships, oil discharge/emissions from ships, and effects of NO_x emissions over relatively remote oceanic areas with low background values. There is lack of observations of most of the environmental variables in the Arctic Ocean. Observing systems are needed which include physical as well as chemical and biological parameters.

1.5 Links to ongoing programmes and organisations

Implementation of operational oceanography in the high latitudes needs to build on existing programmes and organisations developing observing and modelling systems for the Arctic Ocean and surrounding seas. The organisation of the Arctic GOOS will follow the functional structure of GOOS shown in Figure 6, where ‘liaison and integration’ will include links to Arctic

Council Working Groups, GCOS, JCOMM, CliC, GMES, IABP, various EU-funded projects, and national monitoring programmes for the Arctic and Nordic Seas. Some of the programmes and projects deal with topics related to short-term operational services, while others deal with climate monitoring and research. GMES is a key programme in Europe to develop satellite-monitoring systems, aiming to implement European operational services in support of public demand and policy-driven requirements for information. The ICEMON project is an example of such a GMES activity (Sandven *et al.*, 2004). Several programmes and projects relevant for the Arctic GOOS are listed in Table 1. The implementation of operational oceanography in the Arctic will build on the observing methods and modelling systems

developed in these projects. Strong links and partnerships also need to be established with activities in the USA, Canada, Russia and other countries with interests in the Arctic.

1.6 Implementation Process

Initiatives have been taken to create an Arctic GOOS and the planning process has started. A number of parallel activities are going on which can make contributions to develop operational oceanography in the Arctic and surrounding seas. The Global Climate Observing System has produced an Implementation Plan, which identifies a number of actions to be taken to establish observing systems, many of which are directly



Figure 5 Marine pathways of pollutants are illustrated by a) Radionuclides from storage and handling of spent nuclear fuel and waste, operation of nuclear power plants and vessels and military installations in Europe are transported by ocean currents; b) sea ice drift is also a potential mechanism for transport of pollutants over large areas. Copyright: AMAP




Figure 6 The GOOS functional structure

relate to the Polar Regions (GCOS, 2004). GCOS also recommends that an Arctic GOOS should be implemented.

The GMES programme, initiated jointly by EU and ESA, aims to develop and establish monitoring services by 2008. A number of GMES projects are funded to develop the use of satellite Earth Observation (EO) in operational monitoring, including several Arctic projects (see Table 1). GMES is a mechanism for funding development and implementation of observing and modelling systems for the high latitudes where polar orbiting EO satellites are particularly useful.

The ESA GMES programme has defined several key service elements to be implemented on the background of user needs and ongoing developments in many applications of satellite data, including sea ice monitoring and other polar themes. The GMES includes satellite space segments and ground segments, data provision and distribution, service providers, research and development, and links to user groups who are the recipients of the data products. GMES builds links between research institutions and operational institutions and between climate monitoring and operational services (monitoring and forecasting). The Final Report from the GMES initial period 2001–2003 outlines a number of actions for the implementation of operational services within the next five years (GMES, 2004).

The ongoing Arctic/Subarctic Ocean Fluxes (ASOF) programme aims to measure and model the variability of fluxes between the Arctic Ocean and the Atlantic Ocean using arrays of current meter moorings in all the key straits as the main observing method. The European component of ASOF is funded for 2002–2005 by EU Fifth Framework Programme.

The International Polar Year (IPY), which is planned for 2007–2008 under the leadership of the International Council for Science (ICSU) and the World Meteorological Organization (WMO), will consist of internationally coordinated interdisciplinary scientific research and observations focused on the Earth's polar regions. IPY offers an opportunity to develop and implement new observing systems for the Arctic and perform intensive field campaigns and data collection. IPY 2007–2008 will thus provide a framework and impetus to undertake projects that normally could not be achieved by any single nation. The IPY Planning Group has developed a science plan and implementation strategy (IPY, 2004) available at www.ipy.org. The Arctic GOOS should aim to be

endorsed by the IPY when a plan is developed. Therefore, completion of an Arctic GOOS plan is quite urgent.

An EU-funded project “Climate of the Arctic and its Role for Europe (CARE)—a European component of the International Polar Year” will during 2005–2006 plan a number of climate-related studies as part of IPY (Johannessen *et al.*, 2004b).

The proposed Arctic Ocean Observing System (AOOS) will deploy a number of observing platforms on ice floes and in the deep water underneath the sea ice cover. AOOS is part of the Arctic Ocean Science Board–CliC observing plan for the IPY (Dickson, 2004). AOOS addresses a number of technical challenges that will make significant contributions to operational observing systems such as near real time data transmission from deep ocean platforms under the sea ice.

Monitoring of sea ice will be part of the Integrated Global Observing Strategy Cryosphere Theme (IGOS–Cryo), which was initiated in May 2004 when a concept paper was presented to the IGOS Partners (IGOS 2004). The concept paper was prepared by scientists from the World Climate Research Programme (WCRP) Climate and Cryosphere (CliC) Project in collaboration with the Scientific Committee on Antarctic Research (SCAR), and in consultation with several IGOS partners. The response was favourable, and the IGOS Partners agreed that the cryosphere is very important for IGOS and encouraged the proposers to proceed. The next major step is to generate a theme report in 2005. The report will review the needs in observing data and products, analyse the current state and future of these observations, and propose feasible objectives for the development of the observations, which represent a consensus between most important data users and data providers. Finally, Russia has started up their North Polar stations in the Arctic Ocean, and plan to operate these in the coming years.

1.7 Conclusions

With the significant changes observed over the last decades and the prediction of a potential ice-free Arctic Ocean during summer at the end of this century, it is timely to initiate an Arctic GOOS. EuroGOOS recommended such an initiative at its annual meeting in Helsinki in 2003 and has now reached the stage of organising an Arctic GOOS Alliance for approval from I-GOOS at its April meeting in 2005.

Table 1 Programmes and organisations with activities of relevance to the EuroGOOS ATT

Programmes	Objective and Homepage
Global Climate Observing System (GCOS) 1992–	Programme under WMO and IOC to ensure that observations and information needed to address climate-related issues are obtained and made available to all potential users. http://www.wmo.ch/web/gcos/gcoshome.html
International Arctic Buoy Programme (IABP), 1979–	Operates a network of ice buoys in the Arctic Ocean to provide meteorological and oceanographic data for real-time monitoring. http://iabp.apl.washington.edu/
Arctic Climate Impact Assessment (ACIA), 2000–	Evaluates and synthesises knowledge on climate variability, climate change, and increased ultraviolet radiation and their consequences. http://www.acia.uaf.edu/
Climate Variability and Predictability (CliVar) 1995–	WCRP programme to study the natural climate variability and anthropogenic climate change. http://www.clivar.org/
Arctic Climate System Study (ACSYS), 1994–2003.	Completed WCRP programme to ascertain the role of the Arctic in global climate. http://acsys.npolar.no/
Climate and Cryosphere (CliC), 2003–	WCRP programme to assess and quantify the impacts of climate variability of all the cryospheric components on both hemispheres. http://clic.npolar.no
A Study of Environmental Arctic Change (SEARCH), 2003–	US programme to study the full scope of the changes going on in the Arctic. psc.apl.washington.edu/search/
Arctic Monitoring and Assessment Programme (AMAP), 1991–	Programme under the Arctic Council to provide information on the status of, and threats to, the Arctic environment. http://www.amap.no
Protection of the Arctic Marine Environment (PAME), 1993–	Programme under the Arctic Council to address policy, non-emergency pollution prevention and control measures for protection of the Arctic marine environment. http://www.pame.is
Arctic Subarctic Ocean Flux Array for European Climate (ASOF), 2003–2005.	Ongoing programme to measure and model the variability of fluxes between the Arctic Ocean and the Atlantic Ocean. The European component is co-funded by EU. http://asof.npolar.no/index.html
GMES projects under ESA's GSE programme (2003–2008)	ESA Earthwatch GMES Service Element (GSE) is a 5 year programme dedicated to GMES. http://earth.esa.int/gmes/index.html . Sea ice monitoring project: see http://www.icemon.org
Arctic Operational Platform (ARCOP), 2002–2005.	EU-funded project on technological, environmental, economic and legislative aspects of Arctic shipping and oil exploitation. http://www.arcop.fi/main.htm
Ice Ridging Information for Decision Making in Shipping Operations (IRIS), 2003–2005.	EU-funded project to develop operational ice services by improving information on ice ridges and to develop route selection methods for ships. http://www.hut.fi/Units/Ship/Research/Iris/Public/
Integrated Observing and modelling of Arctic sea ice (IOMASA), 2002–2005	EU-funded project to develop earth observation for Arctic sea ice & atmosphere. http://www.iup.physik.uni-bremen.de/iuppage/psa/2001/14iomasa.html
Arctic Ice Cover Simulation Experiment (AICSEX), 2001–2003.	EU-funded project to study the natural variability and trends for climate-sensitive variables, the performance and prediction capabilities of climate models in the Arctic. http://www.nersc.no/AICSEX/
Marine Environment and Security for the European Area (MERSEA), 2002–2008.	EU-funded Integrated Project to develop operational oceanography using space-borne data, <i>in situ</i> monitoring networks, ocean modelling and data assimilation systems. http://www.mersea.eu.org
International Polar Year 2007 (IPY–2007).	http://www.ipy.org
IPY–CARE	EU funded Specific Support Action to initiate a European contribution to IPY
iAOOS	International Arctic Ocean Observing System
Russian North Polar Station	Russian funded ice drift stations. http://www.aari.nw.ru/clgmi/np33/default_en.asp

2 Ocean State

2.1 Ice-covered and ice-free areas

The EuroGOOS ATT covers the Arctic Ocean including the Nordic Seas, the Barents Sea and the Russian shelf areas due to the importance of these regions for climate, environment and economic activities. According to different sea ice conditions, the areas can be divided into three domains (Figure 7).

A. Ice-free areas. The Norwegian Sea and parts of the Barents Sea and the Greenland Sea are always ice-free. These areas have considerable ship traffic, fisheries and growing offshore activities off the coast of central and northern Norway. In these areas observation systems similar to other open ocean areas can be implemented, although icing on surface platforms will create problems in some parts.

B. Seasonal ice areas. The Arctic Ocean and the adjacent shelf seas including the Greenland coastal areas have seasonal ice cover enabling access by non-icebreaking vessels only in summer and autumn. Observational systems can be deployed on subsurface moorings from ships during this period

and operate for a year including the winter season when the areas can be fully ice-covered. Deployment and recovery requires ice-strengthened vessels. Important activities in these areas include fisheries, offshore operations in the eastern Barents Sea including the Shtokman field, the Pechora Sea and sea transportation in the Greenland area, Svalbard and in the Northern Sea Route. In recent years the size of the seasonal ice zone has increased because the summer ice extent has reduced.

C. Perennial ice areas. The area of perennial ice cover, which mainly consists of the deep Arctic Basin, can only be reached by strong icebreakers, submarines or aeroplanes. These areas are the least observed of all oceans, and *in situ* measurements are only available from occasional expeditions. Ice buoys from the International Arctic Buoy Programme provide air pressure, temperature and ice motion, and a few sub-surface measurements. Ocean observations in these areas require autonomous systems that can operate under the ice.

For all of these areas remote sensing observations from satellites are of fundamental importance.




Figure 7 The Nordic Seas showing the inflow of warm Atlantic water through the Faeroe–Shetland Channel (red arrows) keeping the Norwegian Sea and part of the Greenland and Barents Sea ice-free throughout the year (Area A). The outflow of sea ice and polar water through the Fram Strait and the Denmark Strait is shown by white arrows, and deep water outflow to the North Atlantic is shown by blue arrows. Area B indicates the seasonal ice zone, whereas C is the perennial ice region extending across the deep Arctic Basin.

2.2 Description of the Arctic Ocean and the adjacent seas

The Arctic Mediterranean includes the Arctic Ocean with the adjacent shelf seas and the Nordic Seas. It consists of a series of ocean basins which are separated by ridges. The basin structure determines to a large extent the internal circulation which controls the water mass modifications (Figure 8). Relatively warm and saline water enters into the Nordic Seas from the Atlantic Ocean and is advected through the Fram Strait and the Barents Sea into the Arctic Ocean. Water of relatively low salinity is supplied through the Bering Strait from the Pacific. River run-off from the continents adds a significant amount of fresh water. The water of Atlantic origin circulates within the Arctic Mediterranean on different paths where it undergoes intensive modifications. The waters exiting the Arctic Mediterranean supply the source waters for the formation of North Atlantic Deep Water which plays a significant role in the global thermohaline overturning circulation. Shallow water masses leave the Arctic Ocean through the Canadian Archipelago into the Labrador Sea. The Fram Strait represents the only deep water connection between the Arctic Ocean and the Nordic Seas. This strait is characterised by a northward flow of deep waters




Figure 8 Bathymetry of the Arctic Ocean and surrounding seas. 1: Nordic Seas, 2: Barents Sea, 3: Fram Strait, 4: Eurasian Basin, 5: Canadian Basin, 6: Bering Strait.
(IBCAO, <http://www.ngdc.noaa.gov/mgg/bathymetry/arctic/arctic.html>)

from the Greenland and the Norwegian Seas entering the Arctic Ocean, and deep waters from the Arctic basins flowing southward to the Nordic Seas. The source waters for the North Atlantic Deep Water formation leave the Nordic Seas as overflows between Greenland and Scotland.

The Nordic Seas comprise the Icelandic, the Norwegian and the Greenland Sea. To the south they are separated from the North Atlantic proper by a system of sills between Greenland and Scotland (Hansen and Østerhus, 2000). In the east, warm and saline Atlantic water penetrates across the sills into the Nordic Seas. Cold and dense water crosses the sills to the south and forms the overflow which, together with the water masses from the Labrador Sea, determines the properties of the North Atlantic Deep Water. Within the Nordic Seas the Norwegian Current leads the Atlantic Water on the eastern side to the north where it reaches the Arctic Ocean through the Barents Sea and Fram Strait. On the western side, the East Greenland Current transports cold and low salinity Polar Water from the Arctic Ocean to the south. The two major meridional current systems are connected by cyclonic gyre flows which form several circulation branches. They are to some extent steered by bottom topography and lead water of Atlantic origin to the west where it is incorporated into the southward flow as Atlantic Return Water, while water from the East Greenland Current is led to the east. In the interior of the gyre, water mass modifications occur affecting the properties of the recirculations and forming the Greenland Sea Deep Water which leaks into the deep Norwegian Sea. The overflow water to the North Atlantic consists mainly of waters from intermediate depths and comprises a variety of products of water mass modifications (Rudels *et al.*, 2002).

The oceanic circulation in the Arctic Ocean is driven by a combination of wind and thermohaline forcing. The air pressure distribution is basically determined by a high pressure system over the Beaufort Sea and low pressure over the Nordic Seas leading to an anticyclonic circulation pattern. However, it is strongly modified by the topographical structure of the basins which gives rise to internal circulation cells.

The Arctic Mediterranean is covered by a seasonally varying ice cover which moves by wind forcing and ocean currents. The anticyclonic circulation in the Beaufort Gyre, often called the Beaufort High, and a less well established cyclonic counterpart over the European Arctic feed into the Transpolar Drift. The latter provides the major

export of sea ice through the Fram Strait into the Nordic Seas where most of it melts and affects the stability of the water column. Due to the large scale sea level inclination there is a net flow from the Pacific Ocean into the Atlantic. In spite of a relatively small mass transport, this flow represents a major control of the global fresh water cycle due to its low salinity, maintaining the balance between the relative saline Atlantic and the fresher Pacific water.

A major shift in the atmospheric pressure system and the ice drift pattern has been observed during the 1980s and 1990s as shown in Figure 9 (Steele and Boyd, 1998). The most pronounced changes are:

1. the Beaufort High has decreased and shifted towards the east

2. the Transpolar Drift shifted axis anti-clockwise producing more cyclonic motion in the 1990s
3. the ice extent and thickness have decreased.

Furthermore, the properties of the water masses have changed during the same period. A comparison of observations during the 1990s with earlier data shows that the Atlantic Water layer was significantly warmer than during earlier decades (Alekseev *et al.*, 2003) and the freshwater layer was significantly saltier (Figure 10). A frontal shift towards the east has also been observed between Atlantic and Pacific waters in the Eurasian Basin (Morison *et al.*, 2000). These changes can have severe impacts on the heat fluxes, ice formation and melting rates and other climate related processes. Observing and quantifying the changes in the Arctic water masses more systematically is an important task to be included in future monitoring systems.




Figure 9 Average atmospheric surface pressure fields and sea ice drift fields for the periods 1979–1987 and 1988–1996 respectively (Steele and Boyd, 1998).




Figure 10 Observed changes in water masses and fronts in the Arctic Ocean during the 1990s (Morison *et al.*, 2000).

3 Variables and Observing Techniques

Data collection in the Arctic Ocean and the Nordic seas will serve two purposes: real-time data to be delivered to the forecasting centres and users soon after acquisition and long-term climate data which do not necessarily need to be delivered in real-time. Both modes of data collection are highly demanding regarding observational equipment, data communication, data quality and reliability of the systems. The two systems are characterised as

- **Real-time data collection** to provide data for a near real-time description of the ocean state of the Arctic (wind, waves, temperature, salinity, currents sea ice, etc.) as well as generating initial fields and assimilation data for ocean forecasting modelling.
- **Climate monitoring** to generate data for climate research projects and process studies as well as for the generation of time series of essential ocean parameters in strategic areas.

The real-time ocean monitoring requires robust operational systems capable of delivering data continuously or at intervals when subsurface buoys pop up to the surface and transmit data via satellites such as the ARGO system (Gould *et al.*, 2004). The requirements on the data quality are however not as strong as in oceanographic research campaigns, although it has to be taken into account that the Arctic area is very dynamic where a large number of water masses with minor T/S differences enter and form. Climate monitoring, on the other hand, requires high quality data because it is important to detect trends with low signal-to-noise ratio.

The particular conditions in the Arctic Ocean restrict the maintenance of an ocean-wide observational system with quasi-continuous data. Therefore special efforts are required where different types of measurements are combined, using both remote sensing and *in situ* systems. Furthermore, data assimilation will be used to fill gaps in the observation network. *In situ* measurements will be focused on observation of fluxes through the boundaries, supplemented by some interior control areas to constrain model calculations. Only a part of the data will be available in real time, but new technologies will be used to transmit data from subsurface platforms when this is a feasible solution.

Sea ice represents a barrier as well as opportunities for deployment of observing platforms. Therefore,

development of new *in situ* observing systems which can be used operationally will have high priority in the Arctic regions. These systems can be divided into four categories:

- Ship-borne measurements
- Measurements from moored systems
- Measurements from ice buoys
- Measurements from subsurface free drifting or propelled autonomous systems.

3.1 Sea ice

The presence of sea ice together with harsh climate and weather conditions in the Arctic imposes strong limitations on maritime activities in these areas. Monitoring and forecasting of sea ice, weather and ocean variables are therefore of high priority to support safe and cost-effective maritime operations in ice-covered areas (Figure 11). Ships and platforms need to have ice class certification depending on the severity of the ice conditions where operations take place. Weather and ocean forecasts (mainly storm surge and waves) are available on a routine basis, while operational forecasting of ocean currents, hydrographical conditions and sea ice parameters still has to be implemented.

Sea ice has a dramatic effect on the physical characteristics of the ocean surface. It modifies the surface radiation balance due to its high albedo, and it influences the exchange of momentum, heat, and



Figure 11 Photograph of R/V Polarstern during the research expedition ARK XIX/1 in the sea ice region north of Svalbard in April 2003. Courtesy of Alfred Wegener Institute for Polar and Marine Research.

matter between atmosphere and ocean. It also results in much lower surface air temperatures over the ice-covered areas in winter than are maintained by the ocean immediately underneath. Freezing of sea ice expels brine which deepens the surface mixed layer and can, through convection, influence the formation of deep and bottom water. Melting, in contrast, produces relatively fresh water that stratifies the oceanic surface layers (i.e. the mixed layer retreats to shallower depths). In contrast to low latitudes, the mixed layer evolution in Polar Regions is dominated by surface fluxes of salt or fresh water (positive or negative freezing rates). In the Nordic Seas added freshwater may be critical for the formation of deep- and intermediate water masses.

Through these effects, sea ice plays a key role in the global heat balance and the global thermohaline circulation. A retreat of sea ice associated with climate warming can therefore have global consequences and contributes, through various feedback processes, to enhanced climate change, particularly at high latitudes (Bengtsson *et al.*, 2004).

Sea ice observation and mapping is today based mostly on satellite remote sensing, supplemented by observations from ships, aircraft and coastal stations. Remote sensing techniques provide good spatial data coverage and repeated observations which are needed for operational monitoring. Operational monitoring uses different remote sensing sensors which provide variable data coverage, while *in situ* observations are used for validation and quality control of the remote sensing data. An overview of the most important satellite

sensors and operational monitoring methods is presented in Table 2 and 3.

3.1.1 Ice concentration/extent and navigational ice charts

Global sea ice monitoring, which is one of the main elements in climate change detection, is only possible with use of satellite data. Starting with NASA's Nimbus-7 in 1978, passive microwave observations of the global ice areas have been regularly available for more than two decades, providing a unique data set to study seasonal, inter-annual and long-term variability of sea ice extent. Complete coverage of the polar regions of the Earth is available every day (1987–) and every other day (1978–1987). Monthly mean areas of total and multiyear ice have been produced by the Nansen Environmental and Remote Sensing Center to study the trends in the ice area in the Arctic since 1978. The time series of passive microwave data shows that the total ice area has been reduced by 3–4% per decade, while the multiyear ice areas has been reduced by 7% per decade (Johannessen *et al.*, 1999; 2004). Maps of ice concentration and extent are produced by several service providers such as OSI-SAF, the EUMETSAT Ocean and Sea Ice Satellite Application Facility (<http://saf.met.no>), the Institute of Environmental Physics, University of Bremen (<http://www.seaice.de>) and the Danish Centre for Remote Sensing at the Technical University of Denmark (<http://www.seaice.dk>). Examples of large scale ice maps and time series from passive microwave data are shown in Figure 12–Figure 14.

Table 2 Satellite sensors and the geophysical variables which can be observed

Satellite sensor	Geophysical variables
Optical imager	Ocean colour features, sea ice area, leads, polynyas, floes
Scatterometer	Ice area, ice motion, surface wind vectors
Passive microwave radiometer	Sea ice extent and concentration, sea ice motion, surface wind speed, waves
Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR)	Ice types, ice features, ice drift, leads, polynyas, surface wind, ocean features, waves
Infrared radiometer	Sea surface temperature, ice area, leads, polynyas
Radar altimeter	Sea level, ocean currents, sea ice freeboard and thickness
Laser altimeter	Sea level, sea ice freeboard and thickness
Gravimeter	Improved geoid for determination of sea level and ocean currents

Table 3 Satellite data used in operational ice monitoring

	Satellite Sensors	Start	Comments	Operational use
Optical/ IR	NOAA AVHRR DMSP OLS	1978– 1987–	Traditionally the most commonly used satellite data for ice monitoring. Repeated coverage every day	Use by most ice centres, but limited by clouds
	SeaWiFS, MODIS	1999	Used for ocean colour mapping, MODIS is also useful for ice mapping	Used occasionally in high latitudes due to frequent cloud cover
	ENVISAT AATSR & MERIS		Several similar sensors are available on other satellites	Not used regularly
Active Microwave	ERS–1/2 SAR	1995–	ERS–1 was the first satellite to provide extensive SAR coverage in 100km swath over ice. ERS–2 followed ERS–1 in 1996.	Used in pre-operational demonstrations since 1991
	Okean SLR	1983–	Real-aperture radar with 1.5 km resolution, Swath width 450 km	Used by Russian ice services
	RADARSAT	1996–	Most commonly used satellite data in regional ice monitoring. The first SAR satellite providing wideswath images for operational ice monitoring	Used by the ice services in Canada, USA, Denmark, Finland, Sweden and Norway
	ENVISAT ASAR	2002	First SAR satellite providing wideswath and dual polarisation images for operational ice monitoring	Data delivery started in 2003, used as supplement to RADARSAT
	Quikscat Scatterometer	1999	Repeated coverage every day of all ice areas. Resolution of 25 km	Used in EUMETSAT OSI–SAF products
Passive Microwave	DMSP SSM/I	1987–	Repeated coverage every day of all ice areas. Useful in climatology studies as well as near real time large scale monitoring. Resolution 10–30 km	Time series of ice area since 1978. Used in EUMETSAT OSI–SAF products
	NASDA & NASA AMSR	2003–	Next generation passive microwave instrument. Improved spatial resolution, better spectral coverage: 6–10 km	Supplement to the SSM/I data




Figure 12 Example showing Arctic ice area maps produced from passive microwave satellite data (SSM/I), showing a) first year fraction of ice concentration, b) multiyear fraction of ice concentration, and c) total ice concentration.




Figure 13 Time series of a) monthly mean total ice area and b) monthly mean multiyear ice area in the Arctic from passive microwave satellite data (SSM/I) from 1978 to 2003. In addition to the seasonal cycle, the trend line is shown indicating a reduction of about 3% per decade of the total ice area and about 7% per decade for the multiyear ice. Note that the multiyear ice is only calculated for the winter months.




Figure 14 a) Example of ice chart produced by EUMETSAT's Ocean and Sea Ice Satellite Application Facility (OSI SAF) obtained in October 2004 based on SSM/I; b) example of ice concentration map produced by Institute of Environmental Physics, University of Bremen based on AMSR-E data, providing higher resolution than the SSM/I data.

In addition to large scale ice maps, operational monitoring also provides high-resolution ice charts for navigation purposes. For this purpose wide-swath SAR data from the RADARSAT and ENVISAT satellites have been implemented in ice services in USA, Canada, Greenland, Svalbard area, and the Baltic Sea (Sandven *et al.*, 1999). SAR sea ice mapping started in 1991 with the ERS programme and continued with RADARSAT providing ScanSAR images from 1996. ENVISAT has provided wide-swath SAR images since 2003. In the Northern Sea Route (NSR), which is the sailing route along the Northern coast of Russia from the Barents Sea to the Bering Strait, SAR ice monitoring has been demonstrated on several occasions since 1991 (e.g. Johannessen *et al.*, 2005a). In August–September 1997, the first demonstration of RADARSAT data for ice observation and navigation support in the eastern Kara Sea and Laptev Sea during summer was performed by the Nansen Center in cooperation with the Murmansk Shipping Company’s nuclear icebreaker Sovetsky Soyuz (Sandven *et al.*, 2001). In the late winter of 1998, a mosaic of ScanSAR images was produced in the western part of the NSR (Figure 15) as part of the ARCDEV project where the feasibility of navigating an ice-going tanker from Ob Bay to Murmansk during severe ice conditions was demonstrated (Pettersson *et al.*, 1999; Alexandrov *et al.*, 2000). Since then, several Arctic expeditions

have used wide-swath SAR data delivered to ships in near real time to support ice navigation, summarised in Johannessen *et al.*, (2005a).

3.1.2 Ice thickness

In order to assess changes in the Arctic ice volume, the thickness of the ice needs to be measured as well as the concentration and area. An ice thickness observation system should provide long term measurements as well as a sound data base to improve the representation of processes in numerical models. Thus, thickness observations on different spatial and temporal scales are necessary. The overall changes of the Arctic Ocean’s ice volume can only be estimated from basin-wide observations, as there is likely to be redistribution of ice within the basin.

In 1970 the former USSR had already started to measure area-averaged ice thickness derived from field-based measurements of surface elastic-gravity waves from their North Polar drifting stations (1970–1991), when regular measurements of ice surface vibrations were made in the central Arctic Ocean (Nagurnyi *et al.*, 1994). Long elastic-gravity waves (of the order of 1 km) in the sea-ice cover arise from the interaction with ocean swells. These elastic-gravity waves can propagate for hundreds to thousands of kilometres before dampening out. Based on a linear theory of free vibrations of the




Figure 15 Mosaic of RADARSAT ScanSAR images with 500 km swath width obtained during the period 25–30 April 1998, during the ARCDEV expedition. The mosaic also includes some ERS SAR stripes which are 100 km wide. The line shows the track of the icebreakers escorting M/T Uikku to the Ob Bay (Pettersson *et al.*, 1999).

sea ice cover, the measured wavelength, wave period and direction are then related to thickness through a wave-energy dispersion relation. The ice thicknesses determined from different propagation directions are averaged to provide a basin-wide mean thickness estimate (Nagurnyi *et al.*, 1994; 1999). The values compare well to those observed in the regional ice cover (Romanov, 1995) and their interannual variability correlates well with modelled Arctic ice volume (see fig. 1 in Hilmer and Lemke, 2000). The thickness estimates have also been found to correlate strongly (~ 0.88) to the satellite-derived area of the perennial, MY ice cover in winter (Johannessen *et al.*, 1999), suggesting moreover that the decreases found in MY ice area represent a mass balance change rather than merely a peripheral effect.

Figure 16a shows the 20 year time series of area-averaged ice thickness, 1970–91, from which trends for winter and summer are derived (Figure 16b). The mean thickness estimates are ~ 2.9 – 3 m in

winter and ~ 2.5 – 2.6 in summer (i.e. seasonal cycle ~ 40 cm). The linear trend of anomalies from 1971–90 indicates a decrease of only ~ 10 cm (less than 4%) over 20 years. This is comparable with some observational and modelling analyses (e.g. Holloway and Sou, 2002), but is much less than the 1950s/1970s to 1990s sonar data analysis (Rothrock *et al.*, 1999) upon which the IPCC based its statement that the Arctic ice thickness has been reduced by 40% during summer in recent decades. The large variability inherent in the Arctic sea-ice-climate system, coupled with the problem of obtaining ice thickness data, renders the evaluation of ice thickness trends from the available observational data an open question.

Most of the recent ice thickness data have been gathered by upward-looking sonar (ULS) measurements from military nuclear submarines (Rothrock *et al.*, 1999; Wadhams, 1994) and from oceanographic moorings equipped with ULS (Vinje *et al.*, 1998). Recently first trials of ULSs mounted on




Figure 16 Arctic sea-ice thickness variability from 1970–1990. (a) Monthly area-averaged thickness estimates as derived from surface-based measurements of ice-surface vibrations made from North Pole drifting stations in the perennial pack ice of the Arctic Ocean (Nagurnyi *et al.*, 1994, 1999). (b) Interannual variability and linear trends for winter (April) and summer (August), with error bars denoting the 95% confidence interval of the ice thickness estimates.




Figure 17 a) Concept of ice thickness measurements from space using radar altimetry (courtesy ESA), b) example of ice thickness map in the Beaufort Sea based on ERS radar altimeter. Courtesy of S. Laxon.

floats suggest that wider spatial coverage can be achieved floating systems. However, at present for ice thickness there is severe lack of synoptic observations across the Arctic Ocean. Satellite radar altimeter data can provide an important contribution to ice thickness observations in the Arctic (Laxon, 2003). The methodology is based on the separation of radar altimeter echoes from ice and water, allowing direct measurement of sea ice freeboard and hence retrieval of ice thickness (Figure 17). CRYOSAT, an ESA mission dedicated to profiling of sea-ice thickness, is scheduled for launch in 2005 and is designed to operate for three years.

Recently, electromagnetic (EM) sounding from helicopter flights has become a well-established technique for measuring ice thickness on the local and regional scale. Large data sets representative for regional ice regimes can be gathered for determination of the ice thickness distribution as shown in Figure 18. (Haas and Eicken, 2001). EM technology is under further development and will allow repeated systematic surveys in regions where

helicopters can operate. These data will also be important for validation of CryoSat ice thickness data.

3.1.3 Ice drift

A well-established autonomous system to measure ice drift consists of buoys deployed on sea ice by the International Arctic Buoy Programme (IABP). They are normally expendable and airdropped and transmit location and meteorological parameters such as surface pressure and temperature via ARGOS. The positions of the buoys (Figure 19a) are used to estimate ice drift in the areas where the buoys are located. Synoptic ice drift is derived from scatterometer and passive microwave data, providing a valuable supplement to the drifting buoy measurements (Figure 19b). Ice drift is also observed in areas such as in the Fram Strait from moorings equipped with ADCP and ULS, providing time series over several years.

Ice drift measurements from subsequent SAR images covering the main part of the Arctic are produced by NASA's RADARSAT Geophysical




Figure 18 a) Example of profile of ice thickness and ice surface derived from electromagnetic induction measurements from helicopter flights, b) ice thickness distribution function estimated from the profile data. Courtesy of C. Haas.




Figure 19 a) Example of IABP data which can be obtained from <http://iabp.apl.washington.edu>, b) ice drift from satellite data produced by Ifremer. Courtesy of R. Ezraty, Ifremer.

Processor System (RGPS), allowing derivation of deformation fields and derivatives such as vorticity and shear (Kwok, 1998). Maps of vorticity and shear show long, narrow features which can be open water, new ice, nilas, young ice, first year ice, rafted ice or ridged ice (Figure 20). Locally, they can be created by divergence, convergence, shear, or a combination of these. Further examples of RGPS products can be found at <http://www-radar.jpl.nasa.gov/rgps/radarsat.html>.

3.1.4 Icebergs

Icebergs—ice originating from glaciers—are commonly found off eastern Canada, around Greenland, in the Barents Sea and several places in the Russian Arctic (Figure 21). They can be very dangerous for ships and oil rigs because most of the ice mass is below the surface. Smaller icebergs, with a horizontal scale of around 100m, can be difficult to detect. Observations of sea ice and icebergs are obtained by several methods:

aircraft/helicopter surveys, ship observations, reports from coastal and meteorological stations, data from drifting buoys and satellite data. The International Ice Patrol is responsible for observing and reporting icebergs in the Northwestern Atlantic (<http://www.uscg.mil/lantarea/iip/home.html>). In the European Arctic there is a need to establish a monitoring system for icebergs to support the offshore oil and gas exploration.

3.2 Temperature, salinity and currents

Ocean temperature and salinity are the fundamental hydrographical parameters that need to be observed in the Arctic Ocean and surrounding seas. In combination with current measurements, hydrographic data are used to quantify water masses, their fluxes and variability.




Figure 20 Example of derived vorticity and shear derived from high resolution ice drift measurements carried out by the RADARSAT Geophysical Processor Team at NASA/JPL. Courtesy of R. Kwok.




Figure 21 a) Map of the main iceberg-producing areas in the European Arctic and the major drift paths for icebergs, b) photograph of a characteristic tabular iceberg in the Barents Sea, with horizontal scale of about 100 m and freeboard height of 6–8 m.

In situ observations from ships and drifting surface buoys are scarce in most locations and must be strengthened. ARGO floats can operate in the Norwegian Sea, but not in ice-covered areas. Time series of current measurements, temperature and salinity from moored instruments are used in several key locations such as the Fram Strait. Recently developed ice tethered platforms (ITP) are able to provide profiles from the upper part of the water column. Other subsurface observing systems are needed for operation in ice areas.

Satellite-based observations of surface temperature, salinity and ocean current patterns represent an important supplement to *in situ* data, because satellites can provide synoptic measurements over large areas where few other data exist.

3.2.1 Satellite observations of sea surface temperature and ocean colour

For the ice-free areas of the Arctic and Nordic Seas, sea surface temperature (SST) from thermal infrared sensors is currently available from several satellite systems, where the NOAA AVHRR data are most commonly used. There are several service providers for SST products. In Europe, CLS has developed a processing system for AVHRR data from the NOAA satellites and other infrared radiometer data to generate global sea surface temperature maps. Ocean colour data for monitoring of chlorophyll and algal blooms are available from many different satellite sensors where SeaWiFS, MODIS and MERIS are most

commonly used (see <http://www.ioccg.org> for details on sensors). Frequent cloud cover in the Nordic Seas and darkness in the winter months limit the usefulness of temperature and ocean colour measurements from infrared and optical sensors in daily, operational monitoring. Sea surface temperature from passive microwave sensors can be provided in 25km grid cells independent of cloud and light conditions, as shown in Figure 22. These data are useful for near real time as well as seasonal and long-term monitoring. Sea surface salinity observation from space is under development. ESA's SMOS mission, scheduled for 2006, will deliver global data for sea surface salinity with an accuracy of 0.1 psu for a 10–30 day average in 200×200km grid cells (<http://www.esa.int/export/esaLP/smos.html>).

3.2.2 Ship observations

Hydrographical observations from the water column obtained regularly from ships represent the baseline observing system in the Nordic Seas. Hydrographical data have been collected for more than a century in the ice-free areas (Figure 23), but in the ice-covered areas the amount of data is much less and are only obtained occasionally during expeditions (Environmental Working Group, 1997a,b; 2000a,b). To detect long period variations, transarctic repeat sections with high accuracy of WOCE standards (0.002K for temperature and 0.003 psu for salinity) are needed with full-depth profiles. The repeat has to occur in a time period of a few years (less than 5). An annual repeat would





Figure 22 Examples of sea surface observations from satellites: a) AMSR-E 7-day mean sea surface temperature image for the whole Arctic region and surrounding seas, provided by Technical University of Denmark. Note that sea ice cover is masked in black; b) instantaneous surface temperature from NOAA AVHRR showing the relatively warm Atlantic water flowing northwards between Faeroe islands and Shetlands.

be preferable, but seems to be outside the range of logistic feasibility for the Arctic Ocean. Tracers are of significant need to identify processes responsible for observed changes.

Expendable Bathythermographs (XBTs) are launched from moving vessels. They provide reduced accuracy (0.01 K for temperature) and only restricted depths of 750 m and 1500 m. XCTDs are available, but at considerable cost. XBTs are connected to the ship by a thin wire during deployment. The wire might be damaged when ice is present. Consequently XBTs can only be successfully used in open water.



Weather Station 'Mike'

Figure 23 a) Distribution of hydrographical stations in the Nordic Seas. Courtesy of Alexander Korablev, AARI

Towed undulating systems are used from moving ships to obtain data with high horizontal resolution to a depth of several hundred metres (see for example Johannessen *et al.*, 1994; 2005b). Penetration depths depend on the system and the ship's speed. For deep diving tows the ship's speed has to be reduced considerably. Towing is not possible if there is significant sea ice cover.

A significant contribution to hydrographic measurements in the deep Arctic Ocean came from submarines under the US SCICEX program from 1993–1999 (Morison *et al.*, 1998; 2000). However, submarine measurements are limited by military restrictions and there is a question of how much submarine data will be available from the Arctic in the future. To improve the frequency and coverage of large scale sections in the Arctic Ocean it will be of primary importance to use powerful research icebreakers.

Ships of opportunity are widely used in other ocean areas where merchant ships provide the basis of frequent repeats along the same lines. They can be used to deploy XBTs or to measure near surface temperature and salinity by thermosalinographs, or upper ocean currents by ADCPs. One section between Greenland and Denmark has been occupied monthly since 1999 by the vessel Nuka Arctica, measuring temperature, salinity and current profiles by ADCP (Figure 24). Similar data should be collected by other ships operating regularly in the Nordic Seas.

3.2.3 Measurements from moored systems

Measurements of hydrography and currents by moored systems provide quasi-continuous time series in selected control areas, supplementing ship-borne surveys which only occur at intervals. It




Figure 24 Example of ADCP measurements at 50 m depth obtained by Nuka Arctica on one the trips between Denmark and Greenland. Courtesy of H. Svendsen and S. Østerhus, University of Bergen.

is common to use subsurface moorings which avoid the rapid movements of surface waves. Data can only be obtained after the recovery of the moorings. However, systems are under development that transmit the data from deep instruments to a near-surface controller which then transfers the data via satellite. Sensors in the moorings can be on fixed levels or as profilers. Moorings can be used to install arrays for acoustic thermometry which can supply integral information of temperature variations over large ocean volumes, such as the Arctic Ocean and the Fram Strait (Johannessen *et al.*, 2002).

Moored instruments are most commonly used in the open water areas of the Nordic Seas, but moorings are also used in ice-covered areas, where deployment and recovery must take place during light ice conditions. Due to the high costs, moorings are only used in selected key areas for monitoring heat and mass fluxes, deep water formation or other process studies. Examples of key areas are the Fram Strait, the central Greenland Sea and Ocean Weather Station “Mike” in the Norwegian Sea.

The Arctic and Subarctic Ocean Flux (ASOF) programme (<http://asof.npolar.no>), aims to monitor and understand the oceanic fluxes of heat, salt and freshwater at high northern latitudes. The programme will determine the flows of water into and out of the Arctic Ocean and Nordic Seas. The key observing areas are shown in Figure 25. In the Fram Strait current measurements have been made with an array of 14 moorings since 1997 in order to quantify the heat and freshwater fluxes in through the strait. The new moorings includes ULS for ice thickness and ADCPs for sea ice drift and upper

layer transport built into the same unit on top of the mooring. Tube moorings measure the upper layer properties on the shelf.

In the Arctic the deployment and recovery of moorings is complicated by sea ice. This can be carried out from heavy icebreakers or from aircraft, which deploy the mooring team on the ice. The moving sea ice cover prohibits moorings in the near surface layers and real time transmission of data. Therefore the development of surface-reaching instruments that are able to use open areas to approach the surface to measure and to transmit data is a basic requirement. Flexible data storage in self-recording instruments and transmission can be controlled optimally by a bidirectional communication.

3.2.4 Measurements from free drifting or propelled autonomous systems

Drifting buoys on the sea surface can be deployed by ships or aeroplanes. They are normally expendable and transmit location and meteorological parameters such as surface pressure and temperature by satellites. Surface buoys can be equipped with sensor cables or profiling instruments which transmit data of the upper to intermediate ocean layer in real time by satellite. They can be deployed in the open ocean and on sea ice.

Autonomous systems for the subsurface levels of the open ocean can consist of freely drifting floats which stay at a certain depth or density level or profiling floats which drift at a prescribed depth level and leave it at certain time intervals to measure CTD-profiles and to transmit the data via satellites. The Argo programme of profiling floats represents the backbone of the global ocean




Figure 25 a) Map of the ASOF monitoring areas in the Nordic Seas, showing the main flow patterns of Atlantic inflow (red arrows), Arctic ice and freshwater flows (green arrows) and Arctic deep outflow and overflow (blue arrows); b) diagram of Fram Strait mooring with upward looking sonar (ULS) for ice thickness measurements (ES300) and acoustic doppler current profiler (RDCP) for sea ice drift and upper ocean transport. Furthermore, there is a 50 m long CTD embedded in a 20mm tube on top of the RDCP for measurement of temperature and salinity profiles.

observing system (Gould *et al.*, 2004). Presently, about 1500 floats are in operations world wide and a total of 3000 floats are planned to be in operation in the next few years. A few Argo floats operate in the Norwegian Sea. The depth level of the floats is 2000m with a repeat cycle of 10 days when they rise to the surface for data transmission. An example of Argo data is shown in Figure 26.

Another autonomous system that can stay in certain areas or follow prescribed tracks is the SEAGLIDER float. This self-propelled float can drift at a horizontal speed of 20 cm/s with a glide angle of 1:3 to 1:5. The mission duration can be 6 months and the net horizontal distance covered up to 3000km. Other systems with more efficient controls are Autonomous Underwater Vehicles (AUV) such as AUTOSUB. They can carry out survey patterns with a higher degree of freedom. Operation in ice-covered areas is being tested.

3.2.5 Planned systems for under-ice monitoring

The establishment of an observation system of water mass properties and currents in the deep Arctic Ocean requires a combination of different technologies, surface drifters on the ice, profiling subsurface floats and moored stations. A proposed system for under-ice operations is the HAFOS system, illustrated in Figure 27. Navigation under the ice is presently only possible by acoustic means. Data transmission by sound is only possible in small quantities over limited distances. The range of detectable sound transmission together with energy consumption are limiting factors of these systems. The drifters can receive information on the ice situation by satellite from a land station





Figure 27 The concept of Hybrid Arctic Float Observing System (HAFOS) which combines moored and drifting instruments with satellite and acoustic communication.

and transmit it to the floats and moorings. When they are in open water the floats and the transmitters from the moorings can ascend to the surface and transmit the full data sets by satellite to shore. The moorings should be simultaneously used as strategic moorings to monitor full depth water mass properties. Therefore they should be equipped with current meters and CTD sensors which provide data for the full water column. This is needed because profiling floats can not cover the full depth. Furthermore the moorings can serve as fixed location reference stations which are necessary to separate spatial and temporal variability which both affect the float data. The moorings need to be exchanged every second year.

A further reaching concept for under-ice observations has been proposed as part of an Arctic Ocean Observing System (AOOS) for the International



Figure 26 a) Photograph of Argo float deployment from a research ship, **b)** example of temperature and salinity profiles from an Argo float in the North Pacific (20.25 N, 121.4 W, May 15 2004), retrieved from <http://www.argo.ucsd.edu/>.



Polar Year (Dickson, 2004). It consists of ice-tethered platforms (ITF), floats and gliders (Figure 28). The main components are:

- Neutrally buoyant drifting floats (yellow) equipped with Ice Profiling Sonar (IPS)
- Vertically profiling floats equipped with SOFAR long-range navigation
- Gliders equipped with CTD and SOFAR acoustics for long-range navigation and acoustic modems for shuttling data between floats and transponders
- Transponders (GPS geolocated) equipped with SOFAR long-range acoustics for navigation of floats and gliders.

Floats and **gliders** will be linked to surface ice tethered platforms only by **acoustics** for long-range navigation purposes (Sound Fixing and Ranging SOFAR) and also for transferring large data files (HF acoustic modem). Both floats and gliders will use the same system for controlling buoyancy. Floats will either remain at constant pressure when measuring sea-ice drift or change buoyancy to move up and down in order to take vertical profiles of temperature and salinity. Gliders will move both vertically (like floats) and horizontally across the fluid and will take vertical sections of temperature and salinity. The vertically profiling floats will enhance the horizontal network of the ice tethered profilers in the upper 800m to an ARGO-similar spatial resolution and extend the network in the vertical to 2000m to survey the transition to the deep ocean. The parking depth of the profiling

floats will be in the level of the Atlantic Water. The main tasks for the floats will be to measure:

- a) sea-ice thickness distribution
- b) deep vertical profiles of temperature and salinity across the surface mixed layer and the cold halocline down to the deep Atlantic layer
- c) Lagrangian currents.

There will be a need for accurate measurements of sea-ice drift relative to float displacements to infer sea-ice thickness distribution from sea-ice drafts measured by ULS installed on isobaric floats.

3.3 Sea level, surface topography and wave forecasting

3.3.1 Tide gauge measurements

Measurement of sea level is a vital component of an oceanographic observation programme for many reasons ranging from operational requirements including storm surge to long-term monitoring and prediction of global sea level changes due to climate variations. European waters are well covered with sea level measuring sites of which the most strategically placed stations constitute an integral part of the global network operated by the Global Sea Level Observing System (GLOSS), under the Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission (<http://www.pol.ac.uk/psmsl/programmes/gloss.info.html>).

The Arctic region is however an exception from this statement due to the practical problems and the resources needed to operate tide gauges in the harsh Arctic climate. It will therefore be an important task to secure a sufficient number of tide gauges in the Arctic region, as an important component of the GLOSS observing network.

There are two basic parameters which may be monitored, having both scientific as well as instrumental advantages:

- the surface level itself
- the pressure at some fixed point on the seabed

Traditionally, the sea surface has been measured by means of a float arrangement mounted above a well which damps out short-period wave motions. This procedure is simple, well proven and has no inherent drift. However, there are problems of non-linear responses of stilling wells to waves and currents (Bernoulli effect), which can produce errors in the measurement of the water level, and the method is far from well suited for the climatic conditions found in the Arctic.




Figure 28 Schematic illustration of the underwater components of the Arctic Ocean Observing System. Courtesy of Jean-Claude Gascard.

The best alternative is to measure near-shore seabed pressure and to convert this to sea level by means of the hydrostatic relationship between pressure, water density and gravitational acceleration. Seabed pressure includes atmospheric pressure, which must be corrected, either by separate measurement or by differential transducers vented to the atmosphere as used in some bubbler gauges. With pressure systems, care must be taken to ensure that the datum level remains constant and sea water density variations must be monitored at suitable intervals for the best accuracy.

In the future the use of remotely sensed data from various satellite missions will play a substantial role in monitoring of the sea level. ESA's GOCE mission (Gravity Field and Steady-State Ocean Circulation Explorer), scheduled for launch in 2006, is designed to measure the Earth's gravity field and model the geoid with an accuracy of 1–2 cm on a 100 km scale and to 0.1 cm on a 1000 km scale (Johannessen *et al.*, 2003). Precise modelling of the Earth's geoid is important for many applications:

- Improved recovery of ocean tides, i.e. monitoring of sea level in open ocean areas
- Improved analysis of seasonal sea level changes
- Improved sea level forecasting and storm surge warning.

In order to be operational, tide gauges must record automatically in a suitable computer-format and data must be transmitted to a regional database in real time. Sampling of sea level averaged over a few minutes (to avoid aliasing), at intervals of 15 minutes is recommended.

3.3.2 Sea surface topography from altimetry

Sea level anomaly (SLA) data from several satellites (GeoSat, ERS 1/2, TOPEX/Poseidon, Envisat,

GFO, Jason-1) have been used for more than a decade to map open ocean dynamics. Both near real time data and archived data are provided on a global scale by CLS (<http://www.cls.fr>). Maps of geostrophic currents are deduced from the SLA data. These maps show the strength of oceanic eddies and the propagation of eddies in time sequences of such maps. At latitudes above 66° N, the data coverage is not sufficient to resolve small scale eddies, but the main patterns of variability can be identified, as shown in Figure 29. Measurements of ocean surface topography in the Arctic from space will be significantly improved when precise geoid can be determined by the GOCE mission. With a precise geoid it will be possible to determine the absolute sea level and its spatial and temporal variability. The altimeter-derived data must be supplemented with a network of *in situ* measurements to calibrate the satellites and to produce accurate global determinations of sea level change. Sea level anomalies have been studied using an ERS-1 altimeter for both tidal and ocean variability in the Kara Sea (Volkov *et al.*, 2002).

3.3.3 Wave forecasting

Wave forecasting in the Nordic Seas is run by national meteorological services in connection with weather forecasting. The Norwegian Meteorological Institute uses the HIRLAM model for local area forecasts up to +60 hours; it is run 4 times daily on a 20 km polar-stereographic grid and uses lateral forcing data from the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF). For longer weather forecasts—up to +10 days—data from the ECMWF are accessed directly. Wave forecasts for the ice-free areas of the Arctic are generated using the WAM model, run on a 0.45° rotated geographic grid. WAM calculates the directional wave spectrum, including standard parameters such as significant wave height and peak




Figure 29 Sea level anomaly map for the Nordic seas produced by CLS based on weekly averaged data from TOPEX/Poseidon, ERS and GEOSAT-Follow-On centred on 1 May 2002. The unit is sea level height in cm.

period. Forecasts up to +60 hours are forced by HIRLAM data, while ECMWF data are applied to generate forecasts up to +10 days. Altimeter observations of significant wave height are assimilated using a modified successive corrections scheme (Bratseth, 1986; Breivik and Reistad, 1994).

3.4 Boundary conditions

3.4.1 Meteorology

Observations of the Arctic marine atmosphere are needed to provide boundary conditions for marine models both for climate-scale and short-term operational applications which need

1. regular surface observations and rawinsonde soundings from coastal and island stations
2. buoy observations of the International Arctic Buoy Program (IABP).

The IABP buoys have typically been equipped with atmospheric pressure and air temperature sensors, and sometimes with wind anemometers. For long-term climate observations, several coastal and island stations have operated for many decades, providing important evidence for climate variability in the last century. An example of surface air temperature anomalies in various parts of the Arctic is shown in Figure 30. For long-term monitoring, it is a problem that the number of operating stations has declined over the last decades. Another problem is that the Arctic Ocean is heavily under-sampled because very few manned ice stations have been in operation over several decades. The IABP network, however, has improved data collection in the Arctic Ocean in the last two decades, but only for air pressure and temperature.

The present observation network is inadequate for detecting intensive mesoscale disturbances, such as polar lows, which can occur in the ice edge regions. There are also major problems in the analyses and forecasts of clouds, radiative transfer, surface exchange processes, and the structure of the atmospheric boundary layer (ABL). For cloud cover, less than half of the 19 global climate models (GCM) involved in the atmospheric model inter-comparison study produced results qualitatively similar to observations (Tao *et al.*, 1996). These problems are partly related to the sparse observations and partly to the complexity of modelling the physical processes active in the Arctic ABL.

The Arctic ABL is generally stably stratified, but due to the presence of leads and thin ice the surface can be extremely heterogeneous with respect to temperature and albedo. The surface conditions




Figure 30 Surface air temperature anomalies for the network of observing stations around the Arctic Ocean. Courtesy of J. Overland.

(sea ice concentration and thickness distribution, surface temperature and albedo) are closely coupled with the state of the Arctic atmosphere. The net radiation is usually the most important factor in determining the surface temperature over Arctic sea ice, and the net radiation strongly depends on the cloud cover and on the presence of “clear-sky” ice crystals in the air. The near-surface air temperature is affected by several processes: lateral advection, turbulent exchange with the surface, turbulent exchange with and through the inversion layer, as well as the radiative cooling of the air. Under very stable stratification, the near-surface air temperature and wind are inaccurately represented in atmospheric models.

To improve the forcing of sea ice and ocean models, more meteorological observations are needed. An Arctic Ocean observation programme must therefore include observations of basic meteorological parameters such as wind speed and direction, air temperature and humidity, sea surface pressure, cloud cover, and precipitation. In addition to increased spatial resolution of the near-surface observations, it is essential to collect more data on the vertical profiles of wind, temperature, and humidity. The number of rawinsonde sounding stations is not expected to increase in the near future, but development of remote sensing techniques can help to improve observations of several atmospheric processes in the Arctic.

3.4.2 River runoff

An essential part of the ocean dynamics of the Arctic is the fresh water balance. The river runoff into the Arctic Ocean has increased by about 7% between 1936 and 1999 (Peterson *et al.*, 2002). In the last two–three decades the increase has been more significant, as shown by Shiklomanov *et al.* (2000) in Figure 31. This result is based on synthesis of river monitoring data from the six largest Eurasian rivers to the Arctic Ocean. The ACIA climate model predictions suggest that precipitation and river runoff will continue to increase in this century (ACIA, 2004). It is therefore important in an Arctic observation programme to monitor the fresh water supply of the major rivers entering the Arctic domain. River runoff data are gathered by many national hydrological institutes and agencies and timely reporting in an operational context is problematic. The World Climate Research Programme Arctic Climate System Study (WCRP ACSYS) has, in cooperation with the Global Runoff Data Center (GRDC), established an Arctic Runoff Data Base (ARDB) which can provide climatological data for validation of global and regional climate models and input into operational models. This effort is continuing under WCRP's Climate and Cryosphere (CliC) Project. The ARDB currently keeps river discharge time series data from a total of 2110 runoff gauging stations in the Arctic hydrological region. Further information on the ARDB, including information on how to access the data can be found at:

grdc.bafg.de/html/internet/grdc/acsys/acsys.htm.

3.5 Tracers

A variety of natural and anthropogenic tracers have been used, either singly or in combination, to study pathways, residence times and ventilation rates in the Nordic Seas and the Arctic Basin; to provide sea truth for model simulations (e.g. Heinze, 1998); and in relation to the fate of contaminants (e.g. Schlosser *et al.*, 1995). The main groups of tracers are described in the following sections.

Tritium/ ^3H , which decays to ^3He , is produced continually in the atmosphere by the action of cosmic rays on oxygen and nitrogen. However, the contribution due to nuclear weapons testing, mainly in the late 1950s and early 1960s, provided a much larger signal which has been used extensively for ventilation studies. Equilibrium of $^3\text{H}/^3\text{He}$ in the atmosphere provides a marker for surface waters, and the observed $^3\text{H}/^3\text{He}$ ratio at depth gives an indication of 'age' in the top few hundred metres (Jenkins, 1988; Schlosser *et al.*, 1999).

Cosmogenic nuclides have been used as tracers of ocean processes (Lal, 1999). These are formed by interaction of cosmic rays with O, N and Ar in the atmosphere. Like ^3H , ^{14}C has had an additional source due to weapons testing and this additional fraction represents a transient tracer. It has been used extensively in studies of carbon cycling and ocean mixing, and formed an important component of WOCE (<http://www.soc.soton.ac.uk/OTHERS/woceipo/ipo.html>). In addition ^{32}Si and ^{32}P have been used to study nutrient cycling and ^{10}Be for particle dynamics.

Stable isotopes. The ratio $^{18}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$ has been used to trace the distribution and influence of freshwater




Figure 31 Percentage increase (red circles) and decrease (blue circles) of river discharge in the last 20–30 years (Shiklomanov *et al.*, 2000).

in the Arctic (Schlosser *et al.*, 1999). Fractionation of these stable isotopes occurs during evaporation and condensation, producing a trend of decreasing $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ with latitude. Rivers discharging into the Arctic have $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ values of about -16 to -21% , compared with 0.3% in inflowing Atlantic Water (Östlund and Hut, 1984). This has the potential to trace interannual variations in the transport pathways of river runoff across the Siberian shelf.

CFCs. Production of chlorofluorocarbons for a variety of industrial applications (e.g. refrigerators) since the 1930s has provided well-defined source terms which increased steadily until the early 1990s. CFC-11 and CFC-12 concentrations and ratios (time-varying production rates) have been used to derive mean residence times in the Greenland and Norwegian Seas (Rhein, 1991). More recently, the tracers F-113 and CC14 have extended the time scales which can be addressed (Haine *et al.*, 1995; Lal, 1999). CFCs, in combination with $3\text{H}/3\text{He}$, have been used to estimate the residence times for surface and intermediate waters in the Eurasian Basin (Franke *et al.*, 1998), the Greenland Sea (Bönisch *et al.*, 1997) and the Makarov and Canada Basins (Smethie, 2000).

SF6. Sulphur hexafluoride is an inert compound which has been used increasingly in the past decade in purposeful tracer release experiments. These

have included studies of mixing across the pycnocline (Ledwell *et al.*, 1993), nutrient mixing within an eddy at 60°N in the North Atlantic (Law *et al.*, 2001) and the mode and rate of deep ventilation in the Greenland Sea (Watson *et al.*, 1999; Gascard *et al.*, 2002). The release method allows injection along particular density surfaces, and the very low detection limits means experiments can continue for several years over distances of thousands of kilometres (Figure 32).

Artificial radionuclides released from nuclear reprocessing facilities in the UK (Sellafield) and France (La Hague) have provided a useful set of tracers to study circulation in the Nordic Seas and the Arctic Ocean for several decades (Figure 33). The most widely used have been 90Sr , 99Tc , 129I , 134Cs , 137Cs , and isotopes of Pu (Kershaw and Baxter, 1995 and references therein; Gao *et al.*, 2004; 2005; Volkov *et al.*, 2002). Recent substantial decreases in the direct discharge of most nuclides have reduced the quantity of tracer in water entering the Nordic Seas. However, the discharges of 129I and 99Tc have increased. Both are relatively conservative and have been used to demonstrate transport pathways and mixing processes. The use of AMS (Accelerator Mass Spectrometry) has allowed 129I analysis on small samples (i.e. <1 litre), permitting shared use of conventional water samplers (CTD rosette array) and submarines (SCICEX 1995 and 1996). Smith *et al.* (1999) demonstrated the boundary between Pacific and Atlantic water masses over the Mende-




Figure 32 Vertical sections taken along 75°N in May 1997 in the central Greenland Sea (Gascard *et al.*, 2002), showing a) potential density (st), b) SF6 concentration (fmol l^{-1}).




Figure 33 Simulation of distribution of 99Tc in the Nordic Seas, 1996–1999 which has been compared with observed distribution (Karcher *et al.*, 2002).

leyev Ridge, and the flow of Atlantic Water along the Lomonosov ridge on the basis of ^{129}I distributions.

Uranium- and thorium-series radionuclides.

These series provide tracers with varying half-lives and chemical properties, with well-defined source terms, and they have many applications. For example, they have been used to study particle dynamics in the Canadian Basin (Smith and Ellis, 1995), the scavenging rates and circulation time scales in the Nansen Basin (Cochran *et al.*, 1995), and particulate organic carbon export in the central Arctic (Moran *et al.*, 1997).

Barium. The principal external sources of barium are from river inputs and hydrothermal venting. It is involved in biological cycling and has been investigated as a potential tracer for fluvial discharges in the Arctic, for distinguishing North American and Eurasian sources and for investigating features of the Arctic halocline (Guay and Falkner, 1997).

A feature of these tracer applications is the need to collect discrete water samples for later analysis, either on-board (e.g. SF_6) or ashore (e.g. ^{99}Tc). Analytical advances have minimised the sample volume required for some tracers (e.g. AMS for ^{129}I < 1 litre), whilst for others this still remains significant (e.g. ^{99}Tc requires 100–200 litres). This has implications for the techniques required to retrieve samples. The tracers described here have many applications in high latitude process studies, in combination with more conventional oceanographic observations and to provide sea-truth observations with which to compare model simulations. In addition, they can complement observations of direct relevance to ocean-climate interactions, such as loss rates of atmospheric CO_2 (Murata and Takizawa, 2003).

3.6 Oil spills and other pollutants

Pollution of the Arctic has been of increasing concern in recent decades. A number of phenomena have reinforced this concern, for example the Exxon Valdez oil spill accident in Alaska in 1989 (www.pws-osri.org). Another example is the realisation that Arctic haze was due to aerosols of soot and sulphate from industrialised regions further south. Furthermore, unexpectedly high levels of toxic organic compounds have been found in polar

bears living in ‘pristine’ environments, and high levels of PCBs have been documented in the diets of indigenous peoples due to long-distance transport processes. A combination of the cold climate and geographical characteristics means that the Arctic has become a sink for many pollutants generated in industrialised regions. As well as long distance sources, there are regional and local concerns particularly in relation to the oil and gas development and the transport routes in Arctic coastal waters. Prevention and combat of oil spills in ice-covered seas (Figure 34) is a major issue related to exploitation and transport of oil in the Arctic (D.F. Dickins Associates, <http://www.dfdickins.com/news.html>).

A Finnish-led initiative in 1989 led to the creation of the Arctic Environmental Protection Strategy in 1991, supported by the 8 circumpolar countries and representatives of the indigenous peoples. The Arctic Monitoring and Assessment Programme (AMAP), which is one of five working groups under the Arctic Council, has established a coordination mechanism for production of comprehensive assessments “... to provide a baseline for understanding the status of contaminants in the Arctic: it provides the fundamental information needed to structure and conduct formal risk assessments related to human health and ecosystem damage in future work” (AMAP, 2002). The AMAP assessments included oil and gas, persistent organic pollutants, heavy metals, radioactivity, human health, pathways, acidification and climate change. Pollutant behaviour and redistribution at high latitude is greatly influenced by wet and dry deposition, seasonal river flows and ice formation and transport. The most recent reports are from the Arctic Climate Impact Assessment (ACIA, 2004). Documents from AMAP are available at <http://www.amap.no>. Another working group under the Arctic Council is PAME—Protection of the Arctic Marine Environment, with the mandate to address policy and non-emergency pollution prevention and control measures related to the protection of the Arctic marine environment from both land and sea-based activities. These include coordinated action programmes and guidelines complementing existing legal arrangements (PAME, 2000, 2002). Production and distribution of improved data on marine pollution is part of these guidelines (<http://www.pame.is>).




Figure 34 a) Oil in slush ice off the Canadian East Coast in 1986, b) a schematic composite displaying a number of possible configurations of oil in ice (Dickins Ass. 2004).

4 Modelling and Forecasting

Running various ice-ocean models as well as process-oriented models will be an important component of operational oceanography in Arctic regions. Three categories of ice-ocean models are envisaged:

1. global climate models with full coupling between atmosphere and ocean for simulations of decadal, interannual and seasonal variability
2. operational nowcasting and forecasting ice-ocean models driven by atmospheric forcing fields provided by ECMWF
3. regional scale forecasting models with higher resolution and nesting into a large-scale model.

The climate models typically have a resolution of 50–100km, the operational forecasting system 20km resolution, and the regional systems down to a 2km resolution. The sea ice fields (concentration, drift and thickness) will be used for assimilation in the operational system, as well as other remote sensing and *in situ* data when they are routinely available.

4.1 Example of climate models: The Bergen Climate Model

Simulation and forecasting of seasonal and inter-annual variability is of particular importance in the Arctic Ocean and surrounding seas because of the strong climate change signals observed in recent decades (ACIA, 2004). The Bergen Climate Model

(BCM) is an example of a modelling system which can be used for this purpose. The system consists of a global version of MICOM which is fully coupled to a dynamic and thermodynamic sea ice module. The model is configured with a local horizontal orthogonal grid system with one pole over North America and the other pole over central Europe. The horizontal grid resolution in the North Atlantic/Nordic Seas region is about 40km. There are 26 vertical layers, of which the uppermost mixed layer has a temporal and spatial varying density. The specified potential densities of the subsurface layers are chosen to ensure a proper representation of the major water masses in the North Atlantic/Nordic Seas region. Model simulations with daily NCEP reanalysis forcing fields since 1948 have been performed, showing that the model can reproduce realistic seasonal variability of ice extent as compared to satellite data. Examples of model ice output are shown in Figure 35. The BCM is currently run as a fully coupled atmospheric-ocean system, producing century scale simulations.

4.2 Example of operational forecasting: The TOPAZ system

The TOPAZ model system is an operational real time ocean monitoring and forecasting system covering the Atlantic and Arctic Oceans with a resolution varying from 18 to 35 km, operating




Figure 35 Bergen Climate Model simulations for March 1999: a) Ice thickness, b) Ice concentration. Courtesy of Nansen Environmental and Remote Sensing Center.

routinely by the Mohn–Sverdrup Center for Global Ocean Studies and Operational Oceanography at the Nansen Environmental and Remote Sensing Center (Bertino *et al.*, 2004). The model system consists of an ocean circulation model, the HYCOM model (Bleck, 2002), coupled to an ice model based on the Elastic Visco Plastic (EVP) rheology by Hunke and Dukowicz (1997) for the dynamic part. Thermodynamics are computed using a simple parametrisation with a single ice thickness class (Drange and Simonsen, 1994). The ocean model is forced by atmospheric data, both nowcast and 10–day forecast with a resolution of $0.5 \times 0.5^\circ$, available from the European Center for Medium range Weather Forecasting (ECMWF). The TOPAZ system assimilates weekly fields of sea level anomalies (SLA) from four satellite altimeters (GFO, ENVISAT, TOPEX–Poséidon, and Jason–2), sea surface temperature (SST) from AVHRR, and sea ice concentrations from SSM/I data. The data assimilation method is the Ensemble Kalman Filter (EnKF, Evensen 1994; 2003). Assimilation of ocean surface parameters controls the ocean surface dynamics, as shown by Brusdal *et al.* (2003) and assimilation of sea ice concentrations has been developed by Lisæter *et al.* (2003). This enables the model to represent the general circulation, such as the Atlantic inflow to the Arctic, so it is well represented in the TOPAZ model. The next steps are to assimilate ice drift derived from satellite data and drifting ice buoys. Zhang *et al.* (2003) showed that the assimilation of ice motion data from SSM/I and buoys improved the ice motion and, through changes in ice motion,

the ice thickness fields. Every week the TOPAZ system produces analysed maps of current ice-ocean state, and 10 day forecasts for the whole Arctic region at a resolution of 20–25 km. The sea ice forecast products consist of maps of ice concentration, ice drift and ice thickness (Figure 36). Results from the model systems are provided in several formats, including presentations on the web (<http://www.topaz.nersc.no>).

4.3 Ocean Forecasting by the MI–POM model system

The ocean forecasting system at the Norwegian Meteorological Institute uses the ocean model MI–POM (Engedahl, 1995; 2001), which is based on the Princeton Ocean Model (Blumberg and Mellor, 1987). Lateral forcing includes monthly means of sea surface elevation, currents, salinity and temperature, and fresh and brackish run-off from rivers and/or estuaries. MI–POM is fully coupled to the dynamic-thermodynamic sea ice model, MI–IM (Saetra *et al.*, 1999) using the elastic-viscous-plastic (EVP) dynamics of Hunke and Dukowicz (1997) and the thermodynamics of Mellor and Kantha (1989). The ice model also handles all fluxes of heat, moisture and momentum from the atmosphere to the ocean in ice-free waters. Atmospheric data from the ECMWF are applied as forcing fields.

The coupled model system is run once daily to provide a 10–day forecast of 3–dimensional current, temperature and salinity, as well as sea




Figure 36 Example of forecasted ice drift for the Barents Sea and Fram Strait region on 26 March 2004. Ice drift vectors are calculated and overlaid the ice concentration (greyscale) while the colours show SST in open water.

level, ice concentration and ice thickness (Figure 37). Data assimilation is carried out in a 30-hour run-up period. Currently, the operational EUMETSAT OSI-SAF SST and sea ice concentration products are assimilated, using a flux nudging scheme (Albretsen *et al.*, 2004). Forecast products are made available to users through normal public media channels and via met.no's Ice Service.

In the current development work a fine-scale atmosphere-ocean-ice-wave forecast model system will be established for the Svalbard area including assimilation of SAR-based ice observations. Furthermore, the assimilation techniques in ocean-ice models will be improved; a high-resolution ocean-ice model for the Barents Sea will be nested to the large scale model, and a primary production ecosystem model will be included in cooperation with the Institute of Marine Research.

4.4 Example of a regional model: the Barents Sea model

A resolution of around 20km, as used in TOPAZ, is too coarse to resolve mesoscale activity which is very important for the local representation of ice edge configuration, ice drift and ice concentration and correspondingly important for the ice thickness distribution. Local and detailed sea ice information, including ice thickness, will in future be essential for safe navigation and operations in these regions. To support these needs a regional high resolution (4km) model for the Barents Sea and the Kara sea has been established using the same ocean and ice dynamics as in TOPAZ. For the thermodynamics a more complex ice representation is used where each grid cell has several ice thickness classes (Salas, 2002). This ice model also describes the redistribution of ice thickness through ridging and




Figure 37 Examples of output from met.no's ice-ocean modelling system: a) ice concentration in %, b) surface temperature in °C. Courtesy of the Norwegian Meteorological Institute.




Figure 38 Examples of Barents Sea model output from test runs for December 2002: a) SST and surface current, b) ice concentration and ice drift.

rafting within the grid cell. This coupled ice-ocean model uses atmospheric forcing data from ECMWF.

The Barents Sea model is nested with the TOPAZ system. This means that boundary conditions from the large-scale models are applied using a one-way nesting scheme where the boundary conditions of the regional model are relaxed towards the output from the large-scale model. The Barents Sea model is presently under validation and is planned to be run in real-time and forecasting mode from 2005. Examples of model output are shown in Figure 38.

5 Data Exchange

Through an Arctic GOOS the Arctic Task Team will build on a number of existing data archiving and distribution systems in the Arctic Ocean and surrounding seas to provide environmental information to a wide range of users.

To meet the requirements of all its users it is necessary to:

- identify and make available all data and products to those organisations adding value to the products.
- provide international communication networks and efficient standard formats and codes to make best use of them. Such networks and protocols must have bandwidth sufficient to allow straightforward timely interaction with the relevant data centres.
- implement advanced data quality control and validation systems. These systems should ensure that the large volumes of data required and collected are fit for purpose.
- secure archival methods that retain the value of historical data. This requires appropriate collection, maintenance and dissemination of documentation and metadata.
- establish an integrated international database. For users to locate and recover the information

they require, the information should be described in and accessible from advanced data processing systems. These systems are developed and maintained at the individual institutions and they will be connected and operated in a coordinated manner, so that information stored at different sites are as accessible as if stored in a single location. These systems will provide a standard set of assessment methods allowing investigations of the availability and retrieval of data and products.

- establish links to other data- and modelling centres for retrieval of boundary and forcing fields. The most urgent and vital part of the Arctic Task Team cooperation is the establishment of an efficient system for exchange of data between the participating institutions. The Arctic Task Team will basically follow the EuroGOOS Data Policy; but this data policy is, however, primarily focused on operational data exchange. For the work within the Arctic Task Team it is therefore important to establish good and trustworthy data exchange agreements between the operational and scientific community; since much of the oceanographic work in the Arctic area is and will be initiated and funded by the scientific community.

6 Dissemination

Operational oceanography is a growing activity on the global scale. For the Arctic Ocean and surrounding seas there are presently few monitoring and forecasting services in operation, but several new products and services are under development to serve different users, both intermediate users and end users. Intermediate users from the public and private sectors must be involved in establishing a feedback loop delivering continuous assessments of the products in terms of accuracy, reliability, and adequacy to their needs. The design of the information delivery system will be formulated taking into account their specifications, which will allow for specific delivery of information to targeted users, and will plan for easy access by a wider class of customers, such as the scientific community and the general public. Policy makers need simplified information summaries in the form of indicators and indices, but presently few such indicators are readily available from the marine environment. The Arctic Task Team will contribute to developing appropriate indicators on the Arctic marine environment, addressing the objectives and vision of several initiatives such as GMES, GEO and GCOS.

EuroGOOS members already produce a variety of operational oceanographic services on a national scale, of which forecast of sea ice distribution and concentration, wave forecasts, and drift forecasts are the most advanced. These services are distributed to the users via established communication lines (phone, fax), Internet, and public media (newspapers, radio, TV). It is obvious that no operational cross boundary system in any domain can be successful without an efficient and robust information exchange and dissemination system. It is even more obvious that systems relying on data collected in different parts of the Arctic area by different parties cannot become really operational without such an information system.

Parallel to the improvement and development of operational oceanographic activities in the Arctic it is important to promote the products and services

generated by the Arctic GOOS members through awareness campaigns addressing a full hierarchy of stakeholders. Governmental agencies and authorities, policy-makers, the marine scientific community, and the marine industries and services sector will be the main target. The campaigns will take an outset in the contacts and the lessons learnt in previous and present EuroGOOS activities and EU funded projects. The purpose will be to build momentum towards societal support and seek commitment from governments for operational ocean monitoring and forecasting. The Arctic Task team will work in close cooperation with the International Polar Year 2007–2008 which offers a unique opportunity to promote monitoring and forecasting in the Arctic regions.

The specific objectives of the dissemination system for the Arctic Ocean and surrounding seas are to:

1. Prepare promotional material on operational oceanography products based on existing material from the Arctic Task Team.
2. Develop, validate and demonstrate new products to various users from industry, government, international programmes and other user groups.
3. Demonstrate through a cost benefit analysis the advantages of operational ocean forecast products available for a range of services and thereby develop a market for such products in cooperation with the Arctic GOOS.
4. Plan and coordinate marketing activities for the Arctic Task Team.
5. Establish networks between operational service producers, value-added service providers and end-users.
6. Identify existing and possible expansion of the markets for operational oceanography in polar regions.
7. Establish and run a web site for the Arctic Task Team and the Arctic GOOS for promotion and exchange of information.

7 References

- ACIA (2004). Impacts of a warming Arctic: Arctic Climate Impact Assessment, November, 2004 (<http://amap.no/acia/>).
- Albretsen, J. (2004). Operational use of regional high-resolution sea surface temperature and sea ice concentration in a coupled sea ice and ocean model. Submitted to *Journal of Marine Systems*.
- Alexandrov, V. Yu., S. Sandven, O.M. Johannessen, Ø. Dalen and L.H. Pettersson (2000). Winter navigation in the Northern Sea Route. *Polar Record*, Vol. 36 (199), 333–342.
- Alekseev, G., O.M. Johannessen, A. Korablev, V. Ivanov, D. Kovalevsky (2000). Interannual variability of water masses in the Greenland Sea and adjacent areas. The Sverdrup symposium, *Journal of the Norwegian Polar Research* vol. 20, no.2, 2001, pp. 201–208.
- Alekseev, G.V., O.M. Johannessen, A.A. Korablev and A.Y. Proshutinsky (2004). Arctic Ocean and sea ice, In *Arctic Environment Variability in the Context of Global Change* (eds. L.P. Bobylev, K.Ya Kondratyev and O.M. Johannessen), Springer Praxis Publishing, 471 pp.
- AMAP (2003), AMAP Assessment (2002). The influence of Global Change on Contaminant Pathways to, within, and from the Arctic, Oslo 2003, 65 pp (<http://amap.no>).
- ASOF (2000). Strawman-3, Science Plan for the Arctic-Subarctic Ocean Flux Array, part A, B, C and D (ed. B. Dickson), October 2000 (available at <http://asof.npolar.no>).
- ASOF (2002). ASOF Implementation Plan, version 2, April 2002 (<http://asof.npolar.no>).
- Barlindhaug, J.P. (2004). Seminar at Norwegian Petroleum Association, Bergen, 26–28 January, 2004.
- Bengtsson, L., V.A. Semenov and O.M. Johannessen (2004). The early twentieth century warming in the Arctic—A possible mechanism, *Journal of Climate*, 17, pp. 4045–4057.
- Bertino, L. (2004), with Lisæter, Sagen, Counillon, Winther, Stette, Natvik, G. Evensen, Morel, Brankart, Testut, Birol, Brasseur, Verron, Schartau, Schröter, Dombrowsky, Burillo, Gilles Larnicol, Schaeffer and Weller. Towards an Operational Prediction system for the North Atlantic and European coastal Zones—TOPAZ Final report, NERSC Technical Report, 251.
- Bleck (2002). An oceanic general circulation model framed in hybrid isopycnic–Cartesian coordinates. *Ocean Modelling*, Vol.4, pg 55–88.
- Blumberg, A.F., and G.L. Mellor (1988). A description of a three-dimensional coastal ocean circulation model. In: *Three-Dimensional Coastal Ocean Models*, ed. N.S. Heaps, AGU Coastal and Estuarine Ser., 4, American Geophysical Union, Washington D.C.
- Bratseth, A.M. (1986). Statistical interpolation by means of successive corrections. *Tellus*, 38A, 439–447.
- Breivik, L.A., and M. Reistad (1994). Assimilation of ERS–1 altimeter wave heights in an operational numerical wave model. *Weather and Forecasting*, 9(3).
- Brusdal, K., J.M. Brankart, G. Halberstadt, G. Evensen, P. Brasseur, P.J. van Leeuwen, E. Dombrowsky and J. Verron (2003). A demonstration of ensemble based assimilation methods with a layered OGCM from the perspective of operational ocean forecasting systems, *Jour. Mar. Systems*, 40–41, pp. 253–289.
- Bönisch, G., J. Blinheim, J.L. Bullister, P. Schlosser, and D.W.R. Wallace (1997). Long-term trends of temperature, salinity, density and transient tracers in the central Greenland Sea. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 102 (C8), 18553–18572.
- Cochran, J.K., D.J. Hirschberg, H.D. Livingston, K.O. Buesseler, and R.M. Key (1995). Natural and anthropogenic radionuclide distributions in the Nansen Basin, Arctic Ocean: scavenging rates and circulation timescales. *Deep Sea Research*, II, 42 (6), 1495–1517.

- Commission of the European Communities (2004). Global Monitoring for Environment and Security (GMES): Establishing a GMES capacity by 2008—(Action Plan (2004–2008)). Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament and the Council, COM (2004) 65 Final, 23 pp.
- D.F. Dickins Associates Ltd. (2004). Advancing Oil Spill Response in ice-covered waters, 18 pp. Prince William Sound Oil Spill Recovery Institute, Cordova, Alaska, USA (www.pws-osri.org).
- Dickson, B., Yashayaev, Meincke, Turrell, Dye and Holfort (2002). Rapid freshening of the deep North Atlantic Ocean over the past four decades. *Nature*, 416.
- Dickson, B. (ed.) (2004). The Northern Seas at a time of Global Change: an AOSB–CLIC observing plan for IPY, 91 pp.
- Drange and Simonsen (1996). Formulation of air-sea fluxes in ESOP2 version of MICOM, Technical report 125, Nansen Environmental and Remote Sensing Center, Bergen, Norway.
- Engedahl, H. (1995). Implementation of the Princeton Ocean Model (POM/ECOM3D) at the Norwegian Meteorological Institute. Res. Rep. No. 5, Norwegian Meteorological Institute, Oslo, Norway.
- Engedahl, H. (2001). Operational ocean models at Norwegian Meteorological Institute. Research Note No. 59, Norwegian Meteorological Institute, Oslo, 26 pp.
- Environmental Working Group (1997a). Oceanography Atlas for the Winter period, CD–ROM.
- Environmental Working Group (1997b). Oceanography Atlas for the Summer period, CD–ROM.
- Environmental Working Group (2000a). Sea Ice Atlas for the Arctic Ocean, CD–ROM.
- Environmental Working Group (2000b). The Arctic Climatology Project—Arctic Meteorological and Climate Atlas, CD–ROM.
- Evensen (1994). Sequential data simulation with a nonlinear quasi-geostrophic model using Monte carlo methods to forecast error statistics. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 99, 10143–10162.
- Evensen (2003). The Ensemble Kalman Filter: theoretical formulation and practical implementation, *Ocean Dynamics*, Vol.53, pp. 343–367.
- Ezraty, R. and J.F. Piollé (2003). Sea Ice drift in the Arctic, User's manuals, <http://www.ifremer.fr/cersat>.
- Franke, M., W.M. Smethie Jr. and R. Bayer (1998). Investigation of subsurface water flow along the continental margin of the Eurasian Basin using the transient tracers tritium, ³He and CFCs. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 103, 30773–30792.
- Frantzen, B. and A. Bambuliak (2003). Oil transport from the Russian part of the Barents Region. Report from Svanhovd Environmental Centre to the Barents Secretariat, Norway, July 2003 (<http://www.barsek.no>).
- Gao, Y., H. Drange, M. Bentsen and O.M. Johannessen (2004). Simulating transport of non-Chernobyl ¹³⁷Cs and ⁹⁰Sr in the North Atlantic–Arctic region, *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity*, 71(1), pp. 1–16.
- Gao, Y., H. Drange, M. Bentsen and O.M. Johannessen (2005). Tracer-derived transit time of the waters in the eastern Nordic Seas, *Tellus*, in press.
- Gascard, J.–C., A.J. Watson, M.–J. Messias, K.A. Olsson, T. Johannessen, and K. Simonsen, (2002). Longlived vortices as a mode of deep ventilation in the Greenland Sea. *Nature*, London, 416, 525–527.
- GCOS (2004). Implementation Plan for the Global Climate Observing System for Climate in Support of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, Final draft, 20 September, 2004, 130 pp.
- Global Monitoring for Environment and Security (2004). Final Report from the GMES Initial Period (2001–2003) from the GMES Steering Committee, 10 February 2004, 44 pp. (<http://gmes.info>).
- Gould, J. and the Argo Steering Team (2004). Argo Profiling Floats Bring New Era of In Situ Ocean Observations, *EoS Vol. 85*, No. 19, May 2004.
- Guay, C.K. and K.K. Falkner (1997). Barium as a tracer of Arctic halocline and river waters. *Deep Sea Research II*, 44 (8), 1543–1569.

- Haas, C., and H. Eicken (2001). Interannual variability of summer sea ice thickness in the Siberian and Central Arctic under different atmospheric circulation regimes, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106(C3), 4449–4462.
- Haine, T.W.N., A.J. Watson, and M.I. Liddicoat, (1995). Chlorofluorocarbon in the Northeast Atlantic. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 100 (C6), 10745–10753.
- Hansen, B. and S. Østerhus, (2000). North Atlantic–Nordic Seas exchanges, *Prog. in Oceanography*, 45:109–208.
- Hansen, Turrell and Østerhus (2001). Decreasing overflow from the Nordic Seas into the Atlantic Ocean through the Faroe–Shetland Channel since 1950, *Nature*, 411, 927–930.
- Harder, M., and H. Fischer (1999). Sea ice dynamics in the Weddell Sea simulated with an optimized model, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 104(C5), 11 151–11 162.
- Heinze, C., E. Maier–Reimer, and P. Schlosser (1998). Transient tracers in a global OGCM: source functions and simulated distributions. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 103 (C8), 15 903–15 922.
- Hilmer, M. and P. Lemke (2000). On the decrease of Arctic sea ice volume, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 27, pp. 3751–3754.
- Holloway, G. and T. Sou (2002). Has Arctic sea ice rapidly thinned? *Journal of Climate*, 15, pp. 1691–1698.
- Hunke, E.C., and Dukowicz, J.K. (1997). An elastic-viscous-plastic model for sea ice dynamics. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, 27, 1849–1867.
- IGOS (2004). Concept of an IGOS–Cryosphere Theme (IGOS–Cryo), October 2004.
- International Maritime Organisation (2002). Guidelines for ships operating in Arctic ice-covered waters, MSC/Circ. 1056 MEPC/Circ.399, December 2002, 33 pp.
- International Polar Year (2004). A framework for the International Polar Year, October 2004, 74 pp, www.ipy.org.
- JCOMM Polar Observations (2000). A Report to the WMO/IOC Joint Commission on Oceanography and Marine Meteorology, first draft April 2000, 78 pp.
- Jenkins, W.J. (1988). The use of anthropogenic tritium and helium-3 to study sub-tropical gyre ventilation and circulation. *Phil. Trans. Royal Soc. London*, A325, 43–61.
- Johannessen, O.M., S. Sandven, Ø. Skagseth, K. Kloster, Z. Kovacs, P. Sauvadet, L. Geli, W.F. Weeks and J. Louet (1992). ERS–1 SAR ice routing of L’Astrolabe through the Northeast Passage, NERSC Technical Report No. 63.
- Johannessen, O.M., S. Sandven, W.P. Budgell, J.A. Johannessen and R. Shuchman (1994). Observation and simulation of ice tongues and vortex-pairs in the marginal ice zone. In *The Polar Oceans and their Role in Shaping the Global Environment*, edited by O.M. Johannessen, R. Muench and J.E. Overland, The Nansen Centennial Volume, ISBN 0-87590-042-9, Geophysical Monography 85, American Geophysical Union, Washington D.C.
- Johannessen, O.M., S. Sandven, L.H. Pettersson, M. Miles, K. Kloster, V.V. Melentyev, L.P. Bobylev and K. Ya Kondratyev (1996). Near-realtime sea ice monitoring in the Northern Sea Route using ERS–1 SAR and DMSP SSM/I microwave data, *Acta Astronautica*, 38, 0094–5765, Nos. 4–8, pp. 457–465.
- Johannessen, O.M., A.M. Volkov, V.D. Grischenko, L.P. Bobylev, S. Sandven, K. Kloster, T. Hamre, V. Asmus, V.G. Smirnov, V. Melentyev and L. Zaitsev (1997a). ICEWATCH—Ice SAR monitoring of the Northern Sea Route, in J.H. Stel, H.W.A. Behrens, J.C. Borst and L.J. Droppert (eds.) *Operational Oceanography. The challenge for European co-operation. Proceedings from the 1st International Conference on EuroGOOS*, October 7–11, 1996, the Hague, the Netherlands, Elsevier Oceanography Series, No. 62, pp. 224–233, ISBN: 0-444-82892-3.
- Johannessen, O.M., S. Sandven, K. Kloster, L.H. Pettersson, V.V. Melentyev, L.P. Bobylev and K.Ya. Kondratyev (1997b). ERS–1/2 SAR monitoring of dangerous ice phenomena along the western part of the Northern Sea Route, *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 18(12), pp. 2477–2481.

- Johannessen, O.M., E.V. Shalina and M.W. Miles (1999). Satellite Evidence for an Arctic Sea Ice cover in Transformation. *Science*, 286, 1937–1939.
- Johannessen, O.M., A.M. Volkov, L.P. Bobylev, V.D. Grischenko, S. Sandven, L.H. Pettersson, V.V. Melentyev, V. Asmus, O.E. Milekhin, V.A. Krovotyntsev, V.G. Smirnov, V.Yu. Alexandrov, G. Duchossois, V. Kozlov, G. Kohlhammer and G. Solaas (2000). ICEWATCH—Real-time sea ice monitoring of the Northern Sea Route using satellite radar (a Cooperative Earth Observation Project between the Russian and European Space Agencies). *Earth Observation and Remote Sensing*, Vol. 16, No. 2, 257–268.
- Johannessen, O.M., H. Sagen, T. Hamre, H. Hobæk, K. Hasselmann, E. Maier–Reimer, U. Mikolajewicz, P. Wadhams, A. Kaletsky, L. Bobylev, E. Evert, V. Troyan, K.A. Naugolnykh and I. Esipov (2002). Acoustic monitoring of ocean climate in the Arctic (AMOC). Referee paper in *Operational Oceanography—Implementation at the European and regional scales. Proceedings from the 2nd EuroGOOS International Conference, Rome, March 11–13, 1999*. Edited by S. Vallerga and N. Flemming, Elsevier Oceanography Series, 66.
- Johannessen, O.M., M.W. Miles, L. Bengtsson, L.P. Bobylev and S.I. Kuzmina (2003). Arctic climate change, In *Arctic Environment Variability in the Context of Global Change* (eds. Bobylev, L.P., K. Ya. Kondratyev and O.M. Johannessen), Springer–Praxis Publishing, 470 pp.
- Johannessen, J.A., G. Bamino, C. LeProvost, R. Rummel, R. Sabadini, H. Sunklel, C.C. Tscherning, P. Visser, P. Woodworth, C.W. Hughes, P. Legrand, N. Sneeuw, M. Aguirre–Martinez, H. Rebhan and M. Drinkwater (2003). The European Gravity Field and Steady-State Ocean Circulation Explorer Satellite Mission: Its impact on geophysics. *Surveys in Geophysics*, 24, 339–386.
- Johannessen, O.M., L. Bengtsson, M.W. Miles, S.I. Kuzmina, V.A. Semenov, G.V. Alekseev, A.P. Nagurnyi, V.F. Zakharov, L.P. Bobylev, L.H. Pettersson, K. Hasselmann and H.P. Cattle (2004a). Arctic climate change: observed and modeled temperature and sea-ice variability. *Tellus, Series A: Vol.56A*, no.4, July 2004.
- Johannessen, O.M. *et al.* (2004b). Climate of the Arctic and its Role for Europe (CARE)—a European component of the International Polar Year. Work programme for a Specific Support Action funded by EU's Sixth Framework Programme, 44 pp.
- Johannessen O.M., V.Yu Alexandrov, I.Ye. Frolov, S. Sandven, M. Miles, L.P. Bobylev, L.H. Pettersson, V.G. Smirnov and E.U. Mironov. (2005a). Polar Seas Oceanography, Remote Sensing of Sea ice in the Northern Sea Route: Studies and Applications. Praxis Springer, in press.
- Johannessen, O.M., K. Lygre and T. Eldevik (2005b). Convective chimneys and plumes in the northern Greenland Sea. In *Climate variability of the Nordic Seas*, edited by H. Drange, T. Dokken, T. Furevik, R. Gerdes and W. Berger. Geophysical Monography, American Geophysical Union, Washington D.C. in press.
- Karcher, M.J., S. Gerland, I.H. Harms, M. Iosjpe, H.E. Heldal, and P.J. Kershaw (2002). The dispersion of ⁹⁹Tc in the Nordic Seas and the Arctic Ocean in the 1990s according to model results and observations. *Proc. Int. Conf. Radioactivity in the Environment, Monaco*, 511–515.
- Karcher, M., R. Gerdes, F. Kauker, and C. Köberle (2003). Arctic warming: Evolution and spreading of the 1990s warm event in the Nordic Seas and the Arctic Ocean. *J. Geophys. Res.*, C2, 108, 3034.
- Kershaw, P.J. and A. Baxter (1995). The transfer of reprocessing wastes from north-west Europe to the Arctic. *Deep Sea Research II*, 42 (6), 1413–1448.
- Kwok, R. (1998). The RADARSAT Geophysical Processor System. In Tsatsoulis and Kwok (eds.) *Analysis of SAR Data of the Polar Oceans*. Springer Verlag, pp. 235–257.
- Lal, D. (1999). An overview of five decades of studies of cosmic ray produced nuclides in the oceans. *Science of the Total Environment*, 237/238, 3–13.
- Law, C.S., A.P. Martin, M.I. Liddicoat, A.J. Watson, K.J. Richards, and E.M.S. Woodward (2001). A Lagrangian SF6 tracer study of an anticyclonic eddy in the North Atlantic: patch evolution, vertical mixing and nutrient supply to the mixed layer. *Deep Sea Research II*, 48, 705–724.

- Laxon, S., N. Peacock and D. Smith (2003). High interannual variability of sea ice thickness in the Arctic region. *Nature*, Vol. 245, pp. 947–950.
- Ledwell, J.R., A.J. Watson, and C.S. Law (1993). Evidence for slow mixing across the pycnocline from an open ocean tracer release experiment. *Nature*, London, 364, 701–703.
- Lisæter, K.A., J. Rosanova, and G. Evensen (2003). Assimilation of ice concentration into a coupled ice-ocean model, using the Ensemble Kalman Filter, *Ocean Dynamics*, 53: 368–388.
- Mellor, G.L., and L. Kantha (1989). An ice-ocean coupled model. *J. Geophys. Res.* 94, 10937–10954.
- Moran, S.B., K.M. Ellis, and J.N. Smith (1997). $^{234}\text{Th}/^{238}\text{U}$ disequilibrium in the central Arctic Ocean: implications for particulate organic carbon export. *Deep Sea Research II*, 44 (8), 1593–1606.
- Morison, J., M. Steele and Andersen (1998). Hydrography of the upper Arctic Ocean measured from the nuclear submarine USS Pargo, *Deep-Sea Res. I*, 45, 15–38.
- Morison, J.H., K. Aagaard and M. Steele (2000). Recent Environmental Changes in the Arctic: A review. *Arctic*, 53, 4.
- Murata, A. and T. Takizawa (2003). Summertime CO₂ sinks in shelf and slope waters of the western Arctic Ocean. *Continental Shelf Research*, 23, 753–776.
- Nagurnyi, A.P., V.G. Korostelev and P.A. Abaza (1994). Wave method for evaluating effective ice thickness of sea ice in climate monitoring, *Bull. Russian Acad. Sci. Phys. Suppl. Phys. Vib.*, 58, pp. 168–174.
- Nagurnyi, A.P., V.G. Korostelev and V.V. Ivanov (1994). Multiyear variability of sea ice thickness in the arctic basin measured by elastic-gravity waves on the ice surface, *Meteorol. Hydrol.*, 3, pp. 72–78 (in Russian).
- Oliver and Heywood (2003). Heat and freshwater fluxes through the Nordic Seas, *J. Phys. Oceanog.*, 33, 1009–1026.
- PAME (2000). PAME—Snap Shot Analysis of Maritime Activities in the Arctic, Norwegian Maritime Directorate Report no. 2000–3220, 72 pp.
- PAME (2002). Arctic Offshore Oil and Gas Guidelines, Report from the Arctic Council Working Group: Protection of the Arctic Marine Environment, October 2002, 82 pp.
- Peterson, B.J., R.M. Holmes, J. McClelland, C.J. Vörösmarty, R.B. Lammers, A.I. Shiklomanov, I.A. Shiklomanov, and S. Rahmsdorf (2002). Increasing river discharge to the Arctic Ocean. *Science* 298 (13 December 2002), 2171–2173.
- Peterson, C.H., S.D. Rice, J.W. Short, D. Esler, J.L. Bodkin, B.E. Ballachey, and D.B. Irons (2003). Long-Term Ecosystem Response to the Exxon Valdez Oil Spill, *Science*, Vol. 302, 2082–2086.
- Pettersson, L.H., S. Sandven, Ø. Dalen, V.V. Melentyev and N.I. Babich (1999). Satellite radar ice monitoring for ice navigation of the ARCDEV tanker convoy in the Kara Sea. *Proceedings of The 15th International Conference on Port and Ocean Engineering under Arctic Conditions*, Helsinki, Finland, August 23–27, 1999, pp. 141–153.
- Rahmstorf, S. and K. Zickfeld (2005). Thermohaline circulation changes: A question of risk assessment, *Climatic Change*, 68, pp. 241–247.
- Rhein, M. (1991). Ventilation rates of the Greenland and Norwegian Seas derived from distributions of the chlorofluoromethanes F11 and F12. *Deep Sea Research*, 38 (4), 485–503.
- Romanov, I.P. (1995). *Atlas of Ice and Snow of the Arctic Basin and Siberian Shelf Seas*, edited by A. Tunik, Backbone Publishing, Elmwood Park, USA, 277 pp.
- Rothrock, D.A., Y. Yu, and G.A. Maykut (1999). Thinning of the Arctic Sea-Ice Cover. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 23, 23, 3469–3472.
- Salas (2002). A global coupled sea-ice ocean model, *Ocean modelling*, 4, p. 137–172.

- Sandven, S., A. Seinä, H. Grönvall, H.H. Valeur and H. Steen Andersen (1999). OSIMS—Operational Sea Ice Monitoring by Satellites in Europe. Proceedings of The 15th International Conference on Port and Ocean Engineering under Arctic Conditions, Helsinki, Finland, August 23–27, 1999. pp. 508–517.
- Sandven, S., Ø. Dalen, M. Lundhaug, K. Kloster, V.Y. Alexandrov, and L.V. Zaitsev (2001). Sea ice investigations in the Laptev Sea area in late summer using SAR data. *Canadian Journal of Remote Sensing*, vol. 27, no. 5, 502–516.
- Sandven, S., K. Kloster, H. Tangen, T.S. Andreassen, H. Goodwin and K. Partington (2004). Sea ice mapping using ENVISAT ASAR wide-swath images. Proc. Of the 2nd Workshop on Coastal and Marine Applications of SAR, Svalbard, Norway, 8–12 September 2003, ESA SP-565, pp. 161–167.
- Schlosser, P., J.H. Swift, D. Lewis, and S.L. Pfirman (1995). The role of the large-scale Arctic Ocean circulation in the transport of contaminants. *Deep Sea Research II*, 42 (6), 1341–1367.
- Schlosser, P., R. Bayer, G. Bönisch, L.W. Cooper, B. Ekwurzel, W.J. Jenkins, S. Khatiwala, S. Pfirman, and W.M. Smethie (1999). Pathways and mean residence times of dissolved pollutants in the ocean derived from transient tracers and stable isotopes. *Science of the Total Environment*, 237/238, 15–30.
- Semenov, V.A. and L. Bengtsson (2003). Wintertime Arctic temperature variability in the 20th century: dominant modes. EGS–AGU–EUG Joint Assembly, Nice, France, 6–11 April 2003, *Geophys. Res. Abstracts*, vol. 5 (CD-ROM), 2003.
- Shiklomanov, I.A., A.I. Shiklomanov, R.B. Lammers, B.J. Peterson, and C.J. Vörösmarty (2000). In *The Freshwater Budget of the Arctic Ocean* (Ed. E. L. Lewis), Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, Netherlands, 2000, pp. 281–296.
- Smethie Jr., W.M., P. Schlosser, and G. Bönisch (2000). Renewal and circulation of intermediate waters in the Canadian Basin observed on the SCICEX 96 cruise. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 105 (C1), 1105–1121.
- Smith, J.N. and K.M. Ellis (1995). Radiotracer profiles at the CESAR Ice Station and Canadian Ice Island in the western Arctic Ocean, *Deep Sea Research II*, 42 (6), 1449–1470.
- Smith, J.N., K.M. Ellis, and T. Boyd (1999). Circulation features in the central Arctic Ocean revealed by nuclear fuel reprocessing tracers from Scientific Ice Expeditions 1995 and 1996. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 104 (C12), 29663–29677.
- Steele, M. and T. Boyd (1998). Retreat of the cold halocline layer in the Arctic Ocean. *J. Geophys. Res.* 103, 10419–10435.
- Sætra, Ø., L.P. Røed and J. Albretsen (1999). The DNMI Regclim ice model. Tech. Rep. 3, RegClim General Technical Report, Norwegian Meteorological Institute, Oslo.
- Tao, X., J.E. Walsh, and W.L. Chapman (1996). An assessment of global climate model simulations of Arctic air temperatures, *J. Climate*, 9, 1060–1076.
- Thoresen, R. and O.J. Østvedt (2000). Variations in the abundance of Norwegian spring-spawning herring (*Clupea harengus*, Clupeidae) throughout the 20th century and the influence of climatic fluctuations. *Fish and Fisheries* 1: 231–256.
- Turrell, W.R., G. Slessor, R.D. Adams, R. Payne, and P.A. Gillibrand (1999). Decadal variability in Faroe Shetland Channel Bottom Water, *Deep-Sea Res.* 46: 1–25.
- Vinje, T., N. Nordlund, and A. Kvambekk (1998). Monitoring ice thickness in Fram Strait, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103(C5), 10437–10449.
- Volkov, V.A., O.M. Johannessen, V.E. Borodachev, G.N. Voinov, L.H. Pettersson, L.P. Bobylev and A.V. Kouraev (2002). *Polar Sea Oceanography—An integrated case study of the Kara Sea*, Springer Verlag/Praxis Publishing, 450 pp.
- Wadhams, P. (1994). Sea ice thickness changes and their relation to climate. In O.M. Johannessen *et al.* (eds.) *The Polar Oceans and Their Role in Shaping the Global Environment*, Geophysical Monograph 85, American Geophysical Union, Washington DC, 337–361.

- Watson, A.J., M-J. Messias, E. Fogelqvist, K.E. van Scoy, T. Johannessen, K.I.C. Oliver, D.P. Stevens, F. Rey, T. Tanhua, K.A. Olsson, F. Carse, K. Simonsen, J.R. Ledwell and E. Gullyardi (1999). Mixing and convection in the Greenland Sea from a tracer-element experiment. *Nature*, London, 401 (6756), 902–904.
- Zhang, Thomas, Rothrock, Lindsay, and Yu (2003). Assimilation of ice motion observations and comparisons with submarine thickness data. *J. Geophys.Res.* Vol. 108, C6.
- Østerhus, S., B. Hansen, R. Kristiansen, and P. Lundberg (1999). The Atlantic Water inflow to the Nordic Seas, *The International WOCE Newsletter*, 35:35–37.
- Østerhus and Gammelsrød (1999). The abyss of the Nordic Seas is warming, *Journal of Climate*, 12(11), 3297–3304.
- Östlund, H.G. and G. Hut (1984). Arctic Ocean water mass balance from isotope data. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, 89, 6373–6381.

8 Contributors

Erik Buch, Danish Meteorological Institute, Denmark

Howard Cattle, Southampton Oceanography Centre, UK

Robert R. Dickson, The Centre for Environment Fisheries and Aquaculture Science, UK

Robert Ezraty, IFREMER, France

Eberhard Fahrbach, Alfred–Wegener–Institut für Polar- und Meeresforschung, Germany

Jean–Claude Gascard, Université Pierre et Marie Curie/LODYC, France

Christian Haas, Alfred–Wegener–Institut für Polar- und Meeresforschung, Germany

Bruce Hackett, Norwegian Meteorological Institute, Norway

Edmond Hansen, Norwegian Polar Institute, Norway

Georg Heygster, Institute for Environmental Physics, University of Bremen, Germany

Ola M. Johannessen, Nansen Environmental and Remote Sensing Center, Norway

Peter Kershaw, The Centre for Environment Fisheries and Aquaculture Science, UK

Seymour Laxon, Centre for Polar Observation and Modelling, University College London, UK

Harald Loeng, Institute of Marine Research, Norway

Stein Sandven, Nansen Environmental and Remote Sensing Center, Norway

Leif Toudal Pedersen, Technical University of Denmark, Denmark

Timo Vihma, Finnish Institute of Marine Research, Finland

9 Appendix

9.1 Ice strengthened research ships and ice breakers

Research vessels are basic components for measuring *in situ* data. They supply data from ship-borne repeat surveys, and are needed to deploy and recover moored instruments, as well as deploy and eventually recover autonomous floats and vehicles. Ice breaking research vessels will be the backbone of an Arctic measurement system, even if air deployments will play a role as well.

A comprehensive overview on vessels operating in the Arctic and relevant for research purposes is maintained by the IASC Forum of Arctic Operators (FARO), see www.iasc.no.

Germany

POLARSTERN, research ice breaker, in service

MARIA S. MERIAN, Medium sized vessel for ice margin use, in operation late 2005

AURORA BOREALIS, plans to be a European ice breaker with year round operation facilities

Finland

R/V ARANDA

Norway

LANCE, research vessel for the marginal ice zone, in service

Coastguard vessel SVALBARD for the marginal ice zone, in service

Sweden

ODEN, ice breaker available for 180 days during the next 3 to 5 years

UK

JAMES CLARK ROSS, research vessel for the marginal ice zone, in service

SHACKLETON, ice breaker available on multi-year commitment

Canada

CCGS LOUIS S. St-LAURENT

USA

USCGC HEALY, Ice breaker in even years in western Arctic, in odd years in eastern Arctic

Russia

Several Russian icebreakers and ice-going research vessels are available.

9.2 List of acronyms

ABL	Atmospheric Boundary Layer
ACIA	Arctic Climate Impact Assessment
ACSYS	Arctic Climate System Study of WCRP
ADCP	Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler
AIDJEX	Arctic Ice Dynamics Experiment
AMAP	Arctic Monitoring and Assessment Programme
AMSR	Advanced Microwave Scanning Radiometer
AOOS	Arctic Ocean Observing System
AOMIP	Arctic Ocean Model Intercomparison Project
AOSB	Arctic Ocean Sciences Board
AQUA	NASA satellite carrying AMSR–E
ARGOS	Global positioning and data transmission system using satellites
ASF	Alaska SAR Facility
AUV	Autonomous Underwater Vehicle
AVHRR	Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer
CEOS	Committee on Earth Observation System
CliC	Climate and Cryosphere Project of WCRP
CLIVAR	Climate Variability and Predictability Project of WCRP
CTD	Conductivity, Temperature and Depth instrument
ECHAM4	Global climate model at Max Planck Institute for Meteorology in Germany
ECMWF	European Centre for Medium Range Weather Forecasting
EM	Electromagnetic Induction
EO	Earth Observation
ERS	European Remote Sensing Satellite
ESMR	Electrically Scanning Microwave Radiometer
EVP	Elastic Visco Plastic Rheology
FARO	Forum of Arctic Research Operators
GCM	Global Climate Model
GCOS	Global Climate Observing System
GFO	Geosat Follow-On, radar altimeter satellite
GMES	Global Monitoring for Environment and Security
GMM	Global Monitoring Mode
GPS	Geophysical Processor
GTS	Global Telecommunications System
HadCM3	Global climate model at Hadley Centre, UK Met Office
HAFOS	Hybrid Arctic Float Observation System
IABP	International Arctic Buoy Programme
IASC	International Arctic Science Committee
IBCAO	Arctic Ocean Bathymetry

I-GOOS	Intergovernmental IOC–WMO–UNEP Committee for GOOS
IGOS	Integrated Global Observing Strategy
IOC	Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission
IMO	International Maritime Organisation
JASON	Radar altimeter satellite
JCOMM	Joint Commission on Oceanographic and Marine Meteorology
LKF	Linear Kinematics Features
MICOM	Miami Community Ocean Model
MI-POM	Ocean model used at Norwegian Meteorological Institute
MIZEX	Marginal Ice Zone Experiment
NAO	North Atlantic Oscillation
NASA	National Aeronautics and Space Administration
NCEP	Global atmospheric data sets
NSIDC	National Snow and Ice Data Center
PAME	Protection of Arctic Marine Environment
PCB	Polychlorinated biphenyls
PSC	Polar Science Center, University of Washington
Quikscat	Scatterometer satellite
RGPS	RADARSAT Geophysical Processor System
SAR	Synthetic Aperture Radar
SCICEX	Scientific Ice Expeditions in the Arctic Ocean 1993–1998 using submarines
SEARCH	Study of Environmental Arctic Change
SHEBA	Surface Heat Budget of the Arctic Ocean
SIMIP	Sea Ice Model Intercomparison Project
SLA	Sea Level Anomaly
SMMR	Scanning Multi-channel Microwave Radiometer
SSB	Spawning stock biomass
SSMI	Satellite Program Special Sensor Microwave Imagers
SST	Sea Surface Temperature
TOPEX–Poseidon	Radar altimeter satellite
TOPAZ	Ice-ocean modelling and data assimilation system at NERSC
T/S	Temperature/Salinity
ULS	Upward-Looking Sonar
VPM	Viscous-Plastic Rheology Model
WAM	Wave forecasting model
WCRP	World Climate Research Programme
WMO	World Meteorological Organization
WSM	Wideswath Mode
WWW	World Weather Watch
XCTD	Expendable CTD

XBT Expendable Bathy Thermographs

9.3 Useful Internet addresses

ACAP	Arctic Council Action Plan www.arctic-council.org/f2000-acap.html
ACIA	Arctic Climate Impact Assessment www.acia.uaf.edu/
ACSYS	Arctic Climate System Study acsys.npolar.no/
AICSEX	Arctic Ice Cover Simulation Experiment www.nersec.no/AICSEX/
AMAP	Arctic Monitoring and Assessment Programme, working group under the Arctic Council www.amap.no/
ARCOP	Arctic Operational Platform www.arcop.fi/main.htm
ASOF	Arctic Subarctic Ocean Flux Array for European Climate asof.npolar.no/
BCM	Bergen Climate Model www.bcm.uib.no
CAFF	Conservation of Arctic Flora and Fauna, working group under the Arctic Council www.caff.is/
CLiC	Climate and Cryosphere clic.npolar.no/
CLIVAR	Climate Variability and Predictability www.clivar.org/
ECHAM4	Max Planck Institute for Meteorology's climate model www.dkrz.de
ECMWF	European Centre for Medium Range Forecasting, http://www.ecmwf.int/
EPPR	Emergency Prevention, Preparedness and Response, working group under the Arctic Council eppr.arctic-council.org/
FARO	The Forum of Arctic Research Operators, www.faro-arctic.org/
GLOSS	Global Sea Level Observing System, www.pol.ac.uk/psmsl/programmes/gloss.info.html
GMES	Global Monitoring for Environment and Security www.gmes.info
HadCM3	The Hadley Centre climate model www.metoffice.com/research/hadleycentre/index.html
IABP	International Arctic Buoy Programme iabp.apl.washington.edu/
IASC	International Arctic Science Committee www.iasc.no
IBCAO	Bathymetry of the Arctic Ocean, www.ngdc.noaa.gov/mgg/bathymetry/arctic/arctic.html
ICEMON	Monitoring of the Marine Environment in Polar Regions www.icemon.org
IIP	International Ice Patrol www.uscg.mil/lantarea/iip/home.html
IOCCG	International Ocean Colour Coordination Group www.ioccg.org
IOC	Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission under UNESCO ioc.unesco.org/iocweb/
IPPC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change www.ipcc.ch/
IPY–2007	International Polar Year 2007 www.ipy.org
IRIS	Ice Ridging Information for Decision Making in Shipping Operations www.hut.fi/Units/Ship/Research/Iris/Public/
MERSEA	Marine Environment and Security for the European Area www.mersea.eu.org
OSI–SAF	Ocean and Sea Ice SAF projects.dnmi.no/~saf/
OSRI	Oil Spill Recovery Institute, Alaska, USA www.pws-osri.org
PAME	Protection of the Arctic Marine Environment, working group under the Arctic Council www.pame.is/
RADARSAT	Geophysical Processor System www-radar.jpl.nasa.gov/rgps/radarsat.html
SDWG	Sustainable Development Working Group, under the Arctic Council www.sdwg.org
Seaice	Sea ice maps www.seaice.de , www.seaice.dk
SEARCH	A Study of Environmental Arctic Change psc.apl.washington.edu/search/

Sea Level Anomaly www.cls.fr/html/oceano/welcome_en.html

TOPAZ Towards an Operational Prediction system for the North Atlantic European coastal Zones
topaz.nerisc.no

Unaami The Unaami data collection www.unaami.noaa.gov

VEINS Variability of Exchange In the Northern Seas www.ices.dk/ocean/project/veins/