DATA-MEQ working group Progress since November 2013

DataMEQ Working group Brussels May 2014



Terms of Reference

- 1. Develop an overall concept for the management of EuroGOOS observation data taking into consideration data management systems which are developing within GMES and JCOMM
- 2. Identify, in consultation with the EuroGOOS ROOSs, as appropriate, the type of observations which can be made available either in real-time or in delayed mode
- 3. Propose the most effective ways to make observation data readily available for operational purposes in a sustained matter
- 4. Propose mechanisms to ease access to delayed mode observation data in cooperation with NODCs, keeping aware of the progress in SeaDataNet
- 5. Draft a minimum set of standards for data quality control which is related to observation data collection, processing and exchange procedures



DATAMEQ MEMBERS 2014

Chair Sylvie Pouliquen

EUROGOOS Patrick Gorringe

BOOS and NOOS representatives

SH Kai SoetjeSMHIKMETMatthew Martin, Simon GoodCEFAS		Thomas Hammarklint Kate Collingridge / <i>Rodney Forster/Jon Rees</i>	
IBI-Roos representatives Puertos Del Estado Marta de Alfonso	AZTI	Julien Mader	
MONGOOS representatives	HCMR	Leonidas Perivoliotis	

Artic representative

IMR Helge Sagen , Sjur Ringheim Lid, Henning Wehde

Black Sea representative

IOBAS Veselka Marinova

Other program representatives

Argo/Gosud/Oce	eanSITES/GROOM	Thierry Carval	Ferrybox	Wilhelm Petersen/Gisbert Breitbach
SeaDataNet	Dick Schaap / Peter	Thijsse	ICES	Neil Holdsworth/Hjalte Parner
EMODnet-PP	Antonio Novellino		MODEG	Gerben de Boer °
Interoperability 7	Tools Thomas Loubrie	eu	RTQC-BIO	(MyOcean) Kai Sorensen / NIVA



Main principles for Data Exchange within EuroGOOS

- Open data policy
- Rely on standards where they exist
- Developed in Collaboration with European Data Exchange projects i.e. EMODnet Physics, SDN
- Has to be endorsed by the ROOSs and extended to fit their own purposes



Relationships to major projects

- **MyOcean** for near real-time data stream
- SeaDataNet and MyOcean for building historical products for reanalysis purposes
- **SeaDataNet** for standards improvements, scientific validation, and long term stewardship and access of data archives
- **JERICO** for coastal network expertise,
- EuroArgo for floats , GROOM for Gliders
- **EMODnet Physics** for interoperability between SeaDataNet and MyOcean as well as the other EMODnet portals





NRT Quality Control ToR 5

- The group recommended to update the DataMEQ RTQC procedures for T&S, Current and Sea-Level especially for coastal data.
 - Activities going on at regional level but not yet recommendations
- The group recommends publication of RTQC procedure for O²/ChI recommendations based on the work developed within MyOcean, JERICO, GROOM and EuroArgo.
 - The procedure developed within MyOcean are under discussion at DATAMEQ level. Plan a presentation at Lisbon
- The group recommends further coordination between platform operators for setting up new technology in order to meet operational oceanography needs (e.g. Radar)
 - A **COST** proposal was submitted within **EMODnet Physics** and the **European HFR Group** to work on this issue



Interoperability between real-time and delayed mode data streams, ToR 1 & 4

•The group recommends harmonization of some essential metadata at EuroGOOS level:

 A Unique Platform code that should be delivered by a European institution and would be used both in ROOS portals and NODCs This could be managed by JCOMMOPS or EuroGOOS office.

-No progress yet

Providers should be referenced using unique Provider codes. The group recommends use of the EDMO vocabulary managed by SeaDataNet, extended to include monitoring institutions in addition to the research institutes.

–Underway in MyOcean and EMODnet Physics pushing

 A list of mandatory metadata should be exchanged with the data information, including: how data have been processed, provenance, link to web services where available...

-No progress yet



Interoperability between real-time and delayed mode data streams, ToR 1 & 4

•The group recommends definition of a **common strategy to handle duplicates** and get the "best or master copy" out of the network.

- •The group recommends provision of **quality information** about the measurement (error-bars, accuracy, standard deviation)
 - No progress yet



Interaction with providers, ToR 2 & 4

The group recommends enhancement of provider and user interaction, including:

- Organize **periodic regional meetings** to facilitate provider and user interaction
 - Organized through EMODnet Physics II
- Provision of clear guidelines to institutes through a handbook for institutes that want to exchange the observation they manage
 - To be done as part of MyOcean/Jerico/PERSEUS: a first draft exists
- A feedback loop set up by regional centers between provider and distributor during regional assessment
 - As been initiated between MyOcean and SeaDataNet NODC and was beneficial for both projects



Interaction with providers ToR 2 & 4

- Provide material to data providers that they could use at local level to improve their visibility as a regional center
 - Still to be developed but some ideas using the accounting mechanism developed in MyOcean,
- Continuation of work on data citations (DOI etc)
 - GOOD progress within ODIP project
- Setting up an efficient update process between regional centers and providers
 - To ensure that NRT data flow corresponds to data as available at data originators
 - To ensure that SeaDataNet will have more complete coverage of all available long term series
 - Within EMODnet Physics an inventory of the differences between provider holdings and ROOS portals is underway and interaction between provider and ROOS/INSTAC are carried out to solve the anomalies whenever possible



What can be done with EMODNet Physics

- EMODnet Physics will deliver a document with analysis per data provider with:
 - Possible short comings in present NRT exchange
 - Possible extra stations for inclusion in NRT exchange
 - Overview of SeaDataNet archives
- The group recommends further action by data providers and distributors to improve the situation considerably by:
 - EuroGOOS members coordinated by ROOSs undertake follow-up (Oct 2013 June 2014):
 - Check and correct short comings NRT exchange
 - Check and arrange adding extra stations NRT exchange
 - EuroGOOS members together with SeaDataNet colleagues undertake (Oct 2013 Oct 2014):
 - Populating the SeaDataNet CDI service with full coverage of long term validated data sets for monitoring stations (historic and present)
 - Thereby paying attention to using consistent station names / ids
 - Regular communication between ROOSs and EMODnet Physics partners about progress and to safeguard high quality

• Note: EMODnet Physics has a budget of 500.000 Euro for EuroGOOS members for



undertaking these efforts.

Delayed mode Data Exchange and Quality Control, ToR 4&5

- The group recognized the importance of an up-to-date catalogue of the existing observing systems in Europe such as EDIOS, and recommend a collaboration with the ROOSs to update such a catalogue in a distributed and automated manner
 - No progress yet but heavily discussed, alternatively use available catalogue within EMODnet Physics with added information
- Historical in situ datasets are important for reanalysis activities carried out within EuroGOOS. Therefore the group recommends SDN to set up periodic data sets providing the "master copy" (removing duplicates) for a core set of parameters (starting T&S).
 - First version of common product between MyOcean and SDN were developed in 2013-2014. An update in underway end 2014 and the interfaces are improving
- As a counterpart SDN asked the ROOSs to provide feedback on the quality of the yearly update to help SDN improving these products that will be delivered officially on a four year basis fully qualified

