

EuroGOOS

European Global Ocean Observing System



November 19th and 20th 2019 at RBINS

Primarily ROOS, WG and TT chairs EuroGOOS members welcome

Based on the following documents:

- 1: EuroGOOS 2020+ strategy and functional plan (in prep).
- 2: Results of SAWG survey on capacity and future R&D priorities (completed by 6 EuroGOOS & 2 MONGOOS members to date):
- 3: Operational modelling questionnaire prepared by Coastal WG.
- 4: Context from Ocean Decade and GOOS Strategy
- 5: EOOS Strategy and Implementation Plan

Likely structure:

Intro on EuroGOOS strategy, GOOS Strategy, EOOS and Ocean Decade SWOT assessment by ROOS, TT, WG Discussion Formulation of recommendations



NOOS Strengths

- Network of institutes, network of people
 - Trust between people
 - Willingness to share knowledge
 - Willingness to co-produce
 - Willingness to help each other
- Stability and sustainability
- Redundancy in the models coverage and observations
- Close connected to national services
- Co-production independent from external funding
- Independ from politics
- Similar cultural approaches no limitations induced by the language
- Submerged part of the iceberg making possible CMEMS, EOOS/ JERICO, EMODnet, etc.



- Active co-chairs
- Active working groups, some for almost 2 decades



NOOS Weaknesses

- No self-confidence
- No strict planning
- No enforcement when work not done
- Slow progress because of voluntary work and/or no funding
- Don't have enough capacity for innovation
- No administrative support to improve outreach (website, newsletters, lack of outreach material, videos)
- Poor visibility / no communication plan (internal & external)
- Sleeping members
- Weak links with our regional stakeholders (OSPAR, EEA, ICES, etc.)
- No focus on EOVs
- No involvement of the satellite observation, reciprocal sleeping members ('It's not your core business')
- Are the GOOS POC's for the NWS countries involved in NOOS?





NOOS Opportunites

- Be more self-confident of what we do
- More collaboration in monitoring system: [in data] BGC obs, currents, [in regions] middle of the North Sea, Skategat, Kagerak, etc
- Establishing clever communication with communities active in the fields of BGC and climate activities in order to improve knowledge sharing
- Coordinating / initiating more EU projects in which NOOS members work together
- Covering some regional aspects of CC, e.g. to develop together statistical information based on long timeseries / re-analysis (both CC and present state) and present on the NOOS website
- More community communication via the websites and the mailing list (also mailing list per WP)





NOOS Threats

The structuring initiatives such as CMEMS, EMODNET, EOOS, Copernicus emergency service (JRC for storm surge), etc.

They talk a lot with policy makers

- -> risk to cut NOOS members budget to develop and maintain those kind of services at national level
- -> risk to loose engagement of NOOS members





How can the EuroGOOS Office better service the needs of your ROOS, WG or TT?

- More support to improve outreach (website, newsletters, lack of outreach material, stickers, videos)
- River discharge
 - -> SMHI offers





NOOS ambassadors to improve links with EuroGOOS TTs and WGs

- DataMEQ: Susanne Tamm (BSH) [and Kate Collingride (Cefas)]
- SAWG: Henning Wehde (IMR) and John Siddorn (UK Met Office)
- Coastal WG: Paloma de la Vallée (RBINS)
- Ferry box TT: Henning Wehde (IMR) and Willy Petersen (HGZ)
- Glider TT: [Holger Brix (HGZ)]
- Animal-borne sensors: Fiona Carse (UK Met Office)
- HF Radar: [Johannes Schulz-Stellenfleth (HGZ)]
- Tide gauge: Anna von Gyldenfeldt (BSH) and Martin Verlaan (Deltares)
- TPWG, EMSO and ARGO: no ambassadors appointed